G. R. S 59]

(I E 1,000 20 12 1

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1912-03
(Miscl)

File No. 81.

Serial Nos.

Papers Filed.

Month January 1910

SUBJECT.

File re remission of Kalsat on lands belonging to Sree Prasanna Keswara Swami temple at (Lands Situated at Hanitharpuram Vill Krishna Rajapet Taluk)

PREVIOUS REFERENCES	LATER REFERENCES
	C.R. File 423 of 1909.

MUZRAI SECRETARIAT

OFFICE NOTE.

File No 81-02

SUBJECT.

Prayer of one Lakshmidevamma for the remission of Khalsat on certain temple inam lands.

From

The Superintendent of Inam Settlements

No. 114 Dated 5/8th May 1905

Government orders remitting Khalsat on Temple inam lands as directed in the Dasara R.A. of 1901, were issued in Rev: NO R.2973-83 dy 9th Jan'y 1902. (Printed Copy is put up)

One Lakshmidevamma of Nanjangud memorialized His Highness the Maharaja praying that under the terms of the aforesaid Govt. order, the Khalsat on certain inam lands in the Krishnarajpet Taluk, may be ordered to be remitted

MGCLB-500-21105

as the local authorities had advertised the lands for sale owing to the arrears of Khalsa assessment due thereon. This memorial was transferred to this Department for report. R. No. 1437 dated 27th July 1903

The records relating to the subject were called for from which it is observed as follows.

The village of Hanumanpura in the Krishnarajpet Taluk is a Sarvamanya one under the management of Govt. in the Revenue Department. Lands bearing Sur: Nos 186, 191, 57 & 190, assessed at Rs 37-8-0 in the aggregate, were granted as Inam by the Inamdar (Gurukar Nanjunda date not known) to the Sri Kasama Nanjundeswaraswamy temple at Nanjangud. This inam not having been dealt with by the Inam Department, is a separate temple inam, a perusal of Rs 79

Muzrai Secretariat.

File No 81 . of 190 2

was fixed on the lands during the Survey Settlement and after deducting 18 Varahas therefrom, the balance of Rs 26-8-0 and the local cess of Rs 4-15-0 on the Beriz were imposed as Kandayam on the lands in question. The Kandayam having fallen into arrears, the lands were brought up for sale by the Taluk Amildar, but the same was cancelled by the then Sub Division Officer of French Rocks, pending the decision of the question by Govt as to whether the terms of the Govt's Pro. of the 9th Jan 1902 could be made applicable to the case The memorialist contended that the Khalsa on the lands in question should be remitted in accordance with the terms of the Govt. order referred to above.

The Inam lands in question having been granted to the temp

Revenue 827 8/17 2 1903
Mas ✱V

Mr. N Venkata Rao

15

by private individuals and not having been dealt with by the Inam Department as Devadaya, the opinion of the Inam Superintendent was called for. That Officer states, in his letter No 114 dated the 3rd May 1905 that the Govt. Order cannot be held strictly to apply to the present case as the lands in question were not dealt with by the Inam Department, nor by the Survey Department in the Jarás statement, and consequently there was no Khalsa proper. Col Grant adds that the Govt. Order of 1902 is, however, "so worded, directing as it does, the remission of the assessment on Temple Khalsa lands in actual enjoyment of Temples, that the excess in the present case, which is practically, although not literally, Khalsa, may, if the whole of the lands are really in the enjoyment of the Temple, be remitted". Colonel Grant states further

Muzrai Secretariat.

File No 87 of 1902

further that as he has not the Settlement papers at hand, he has given his opinion on General Grounds

From the declaration of forfeiture (in) it appears that all the 4 survey nos in question have been in the possession and enjoyment of the temple, i e, of the Archak on behalf of the temple. Under the circumstances brought to notice, the case seems to be one deserving the favourable consideration of the Govt, and it is submitted that the excess charged on the lands may be excused together with all arrears up to date.

The petn addressed to His Highness which was forwarded to the Dy Commr, not having been returned to this Office, it was called for and reminders were issued. The Dy Commr states that the Asst Commr in charge of the Kadur Division has been requested to return the

Muzrai Secretariat. | File No of 190

peta and that the same will be forwarded to this Office after its receipt. The Petn addressed to this Office is in the File.

His Highness the Maharaja has been pleased to ask for a report in this Case

Submitted to the Dewan through the 2nd Councillor

Thantakanne Rao
18/5/05

2/337. Shrine & grants which creates some doubts to issuing such kinds under the provision of the order/02 is whether the intention of the original grant of the land by the Zamindars was an account of remuneration for the prosperity of H. H. the Maharaja & the Mysore State. If so is it otherwise no concession

Muzrai Secretariat.

File No. 87 of 1904

under the said order can be claimed. The question of enjoyment of the land & the temple is in favour of the applicants.

25/5/05

25/5/05 We also note that very clear ground. We would like to know why the Commissioner has not called the Archit - Dharmadhikari - Dharmadhikari is otherwise represented - Is this the main Temple or another consecrated in the big temple of any other deity - To whom was the land granted? To the architect of the Temple? How is the land registered & in whose name. Want to know these details

6775/26.V.05.

Write for the info
3/6/05

Recd 6/6/05 Draft sub'd 9/6/05

MGCPB—3,500—15-10-01 6948

4.6.05

Muzrai Secretariat. File No. of 190

Note continued :—

In reply to the enquiry ordered by the Dewan on page 7 of this note, the Dy Comm^r of the Mysore District, reports (1) that it is not understood how the inam lands are in the enjoyment of the Archak (2) that the Archak is not remunerated otherwise than by 15 Varahas & 6 Fanams out of the income of the inam lands, (3) that the Archak is also Samidhi Archak of the main temple & hinga pay of 9 per mensem, (4) that the temple question is a minor one established by the Sri Srikanteswaraswamy temple, and that the lands were granted by Guriker Nijappa to the minor temple in question & that the marginally noted lands have been registered in the name of the Sri Parasama Nanjundeswara temple.

His letter No 493 dy 22 Aug.

51, 52, 53, 18 187, 188, 190 & 191

A copy of the will executed by donor Guriker Nanjappayya making the gift is also submitted

Submitted for orders with reference to the

Muzrai Secretariat. | File No. 81 of 1902

Dewan Saheb's query noted on page 7 -

Ethuantavarma Raja
Asst to the Dewan
27/3/06

12194/27.3.06.

Minute under the orders of the Karbhari may be requested. Send a note as at 4 W 4

Ethu
28.III.06

Note The memorial addressed to His Highness the Maharaja is not in the file - when resubmitting with a note, it may be kindly sent.

Find this out
29/3/06

Submitted

It is reported by the Dy Commissioner in his letter no 618 dated 13th June 1906 that the original petition of Lakshmidevamma is not traceable. A copy of the Memorial since presented by the Petr is now placed in the File.

A short typed note is submitted. His Highness has called for a report in this case.

Read 26.6.06 23/6/06 Asst to the Dewan & the 1st Cr } Ethuantavarma Raja 25/6/06

File No of 190 | Muzrai Secretariat.

Muz 81

Muzrai Secretariat.	File No. 81 of 190

I have recorded my opinion on the office note

26/6/06

Rec'd 26/6/06

File No. of 190 Muzrai Secretariat.

M U Z R A I

A short Note on the Memorial of one Lakshmi Devamma re Khalsat.

The village of Hariharpur in the Krishnarajapet Taluk (formerly belonging to the Holenarsipur Taluk) is a Sarvamanyam village, granted by His Highness the late Maharaja Krishnaraj Wadiyar Bahadur LLL to to the late Mr. Nanjappiah who was the Gurikar of the Palace Bokkasa. The donee consecrated the idol of Sri Prasanna Narjundeswaraswami in one of the Mantaps in the main temple of ~~the~~ Sri Srikanteswaraswami at Narjanpud, and assigned lands in 1853 with a beriz of 18 Varahas in the said Sarvamanyam village for Paditari Deeparadhane and other services in the said minor shrine. This assignment not having been however dealt with by the Inam Department as a separate temple Inam a beriz of Rs. 79 was fixed on the said lands during the Survey Settlement and after deducting therefrom the Inam of 18 Varahas or Rs. 52-5-11, the balance of Rs. 26-10-1 was imposed as kandayam. The lands were however registered in the name of the donee.

2. The village in question having subse-

2
(12) (43)

come under the management of the Revenue Department and the Kandayam due on the land together with the local cess due on the full beriz, having fallen into arrears, the lands were brought up for sale by the Taluk authorities. But the sale was temporarily cancelled by the Sub Division Officer of French Rocks at the request of the Archak who contended that the said arrears, which were Khalsat on the lands in question, were liable to remission in accordance with the terms of the Govt. Order No R 2973-83 dated the 9th January 1902, which directed the remission of the assessment on temple Khalsat lands. The Archak since died leaving a widow by name Lakshmideavamma. She submitted a Memorial to H. H. the Maharaja repeating her deceased husband's contention and it has been received in this Office for report.

Porker under Dis-

Now the question is whether the Govt. order which referred to temple Khalsat, can be made applicable to the Inam under dispute, which was granted by a private individual. The Inam Superintendent who was consulted on the subject is of opinion that the order cannot strictly apply to the lands in question, because they were not dealt with by the Inam Dept., nor by the Survey Department in the Taraf Statement. He states, however, that the order is " so worded, directing,

does, the remission of the assessment ~~on~~ or temple Khalsat lands in actual enjoyment of temples", and that therefore "the excess in the present case, which is practically, although not literally, Khalsat, may if the whole of the lands are really in the enjoyment of the temple, be remitted." From the local enquiry it is ascertained that the lands in question are in the actual enjoyment of the Archak, who does service in the temple.

In these circumstances the late Dewar Sir P. N. Krishna Murti was of opinion that the Khalsat might be exempted and a Note submitted to His Highness accordingly.

The big Office Note which is in the file contains the opinions of the late 2nd Councillor and the late Dewan.

Submitted
Rs 23/6/06 25/6/06

Such Devadaya grants made by private individuals are worthy of every encouragement The village is a Sarva Inam village under Govt management

(14)

F.D

and was granted by H H the late Maharaja Krishnaraja Wadeyar III I would accept Col Grant's opn and exempt the lands from khalsah.

H H Kettle
Secy to Govt

26/6/06

Rec'd 26/6/06 [illegible] 1104/26.6.06

Please put up paper showing:—
1) how the Village of Hariharpur came under Govt management;
& 2) correspondence which led to the passing of the pro: No 2973-88 dated 9th January 1902 — B.S.

[illegible]
26/6/06.

Rec'd 30/6/06

Comply at once [illegible]
30/6/06

Muzrai Secretariat. | File No. 87 of 1902 –

Note continued:—

With reference to the Dewan Saheb's queries (1) as to how the village of Harihar came under Govt. management information was called for from the Dy Commr of the Mysore Dist. who states that the original records are not forthcoming in the Taluk and that the village came under Govt. management as directed in Pro: No 2016-8 dy the 7th August 1894. It is further stated that Mr Subbaiya the Inamdar has mortgaged the village to Govt for the 20,000 Rs loan obtained by him from Govt.

Li No 6982/258 1906. R

H A (Limited File)

In regard to query (2) the correspondence which led to the passing of Pro No 2973-88 dy 9th Jan 1907, was obtained from the Govt Revenue Secretariat

Li No R 35989/7 1906.

File No. of 190 | Muzrai Secretariat.

and are herewith submitted

—

Submitted
by S.L.

Please ask the Govt
Asst for the papers relating
to item No (1) GL
4/9/06

Despatched by 5/9/06

Note contd:—

The papers above called for have
been received with the G.O. Rev. Lr's no
2582 dy 3.d Oct 1906. ~~But B~~ The late P.
Mr. B Gopaleingar (Officer in charge of
the State Huzur Treasury) prayed for the
grant of a loan on the hypothecation
of his Sarvamanya inam village of Hariharpur
and a loan of Rs 20,000/- was sanctioned
in Govt Pro. No $\frac{2816-8}{R352}$ dy 18th August 1894. × slip Q is linked
The village was directed to be taken charge
of by Govt & managed thro' the Amildar.

Subtd.
by S.L. 5/9/06

(17)

Muzrai Secretariat. | File No. of 190

Subd to the Dewan

with the papers and correspondence
called for on page 4 of this Note

K Ramakrishna Rao
8/10/06

2388
8/10/06.

Dewan's order.

File No. of 190

Muzrai Secretaria

I.R.S. 13]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

Dear Mr D'Souza,

I herewith send a file re Khalsat in certain Inam lands granted to a temple by a Sawa Raja ~~Inamdar~~. ~~The question~~ Many the village is now under Govt management the question of resuming Khalsat appears to me to be a right resting in the Inamdar & cannot be exercised by Govt without his express Consent. I shall be glad if you can kindly go through the file & let me know your opinion

yrs sincerely
AB

Please see correspondence 2/11/07

2828 10/11/07

9/11/07

N. B.—Should routine notes be written on slips, the notes must be pasted on slips pasted on this form.

Serial No.

The Amildar in his report has enclosed an extract from the Survey records which seems to put quite a different complexion on the facts of the case. In the Samvad a copy of which is in the file, land with a kerij of 18 varahas (15 varahas of wet & 3 varahas of dry) were assigned for the benefit of the idol in 1853. From the Sanad in the Khatta, it seems that in the beginning no specific land was given to the idol and the payment of 18 varahas was made out of the income of the village - Subsequently through some arrangement the particulars of which it has not been possible to ascertain, land in the holding of certain tenants, yielding a rent of 18 varahas were considered as having been set apart for the benefit of the idol and 18 varahas were accordingly removed from them annually.

After the village came under Government management Survey and Settlement has been introduced into it and according

GPB—50 000—23.10-05

Serial No.

to the rate then settled the tenants who held the land which are now regarded as belonging to the old land & pay Rs 79 in place of the Rs 52.11. which they were originally paying. It is a mistake to regard this as the sale being in reality the enactment of the tenants holding the land in question so no question of any remission can arise in the case as the tenants are bound & pay the enhanced rent

I cannot understand how any proceedings could have been taken for the recovery of the arrears of rent against any other interest in the land except that of the tenants who are bound to pay the rent So there seems to have been a mistake in the manner adopted for the recovery of the arrears.

The endorsement in the file is like any other file and is not an Insane which can be confirmed only by Court

flag A

The Sub Div Officer should set aside the sale has passed the finish and cancelled the sale on the ground that the amount is due by the person in possession of the land

GPB—50,000—23.10-05

C.P.S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. of 190

Serial No.

The transfer of the land on Grahamstown to the idol does not affect the liability along with the rest of the village for the Jodi Tagadu Cost. It is the Inamdar's business to see that the purposes of the grant are duly fulfilled and if they are not he cannot obtain the necessary interference of Court as there is nothing to show that the sanction of Court has been obtained to ~~ratify the~~ confirming the Inam. The Court may do so now if so disposed as any of the many times that have elapsed since the land have been practically regarded as belonging to the idol, there does not seem to be much chance of any rights being now asserted on behalf of the Inamdar in respect of these lands - the idol is entitled to the benefit of the full rent that may be properly recovered from the land in question

To Under-Secy
as duly with Inam

Note—Contd. sheet

GPB 50,000 -03-10-05

Copy of the Khatha extract of Sri Prasanna Nanjundeswara Swami at Nanjangud entered from July 1894 to July 1895 in the Khatha Book of the Sarvamanya Harihara pura village in the Krishnarajapet Taluk

No. 90. Nanjangud, Prasanna Nanjundeswara Swami on pages 49, 50 & 51.

Last year's arrears ... 262-1-6
Current year's arrears 31-9-4
Arrears of cultivated land 79-0-0.

No.	acres. A G	Rate. R a P	assessment. R A P
51 dry.	4-10	1-0-0	4-0-0.
52 dry	4-12	0-15-0	4-0-0.
53 dry.	9-25.	1-0-0	9-8-0.
186 wet	1-19	7-2-0	14-0-0.
187 wet	1-28	7-2-0	12-0-0.
188 wet	2-12	7-2-0	16-0-0
190 wet	2-11	6-12-0	15-0-0
191 wet	1-3.	7-2-0	7-8-0.
8	27-1		79-0-0

Assessment 79-0-0
Fund 4-15-0
83-15-0.

Remarks:—

This is an Inam found in the village accounts (ie ½ of 25-0). This is not included in the Jari Inamti of the Inam Settlement. From the written arrangements made by the Inamdar Bokkasada Gurikar Narayappa, only 12 varahas should be devoted as Inam

and the said amount of 18 Varahas should
be utilized towards the daily worship &
Padikkāsu Dēsparadhanai of Prasanna
Nanjundeswaraswami & Nandikeswara
Swami idols consecrated by the said
Gurukal Nanjappa in the Nanjangud
Srikantheswaraswami temple &
towards the pay of the Archak, waleriman
& those who repeat the hymns It is further
mentioned that if the worship is not properly
carried on, the Inam should be discontinued,
that no one should have any power of
disposition over the Inam, & that the original
Sanad should be in the possession of
the person who rightly performs the
worship The person who was then performing
the worship is alleged to have been one —
Venkatasubba Dikshitha, the grandson
of the Pandith of Somanathapura Out
of the 18 Varahas thus set apart, 15 Varahas
& 6 phanams ~~have been recorded~~
have been directed to be utilized towards
Padikkāsu Dēsparadhana & watchman,
12 phanams towards waleriman & their
expenses connected with the worship, &
12 phanams towards the pay of Nanjunda —
bhatta who sang hymns before the idols
In accordance with this direction, the amount
was being met from the income of the village
Subsequently tenants holding ~~lands~~ lands in the village &
paying a rent of 18 Varahas appear to
have been set apart for the purpose No
mention is made about this Inam in
the list furnished by Shekdar Ramakrishnappa

to Hosur Srinivasa Iyengar father of Inamdar Sri B Gopalaiyar & Narayappa. So it is not possible to say ~~at~~ by whose action the Specific lands were set apart ~~for~~ the idol The equivalent of 18 Vanchas is Rs 52-5-11 The lands set apart for this amount was measured by the Survey Deptt & it was found that there were dry lands at Rs 17-8-0 and wet at Rs 61-8-0 But the byavare the dry land according to the Samvat Rs 8-11-8 & of wet 4 3-10-3 Nowhere is any mention made of any specific land being endowed to the idols. For some years after the grant of the Samvat, the amount was being met from the income of the village If Rs 8-11-8, ~~the~~ (being of the dry land endowed) is deducted out of 17-8-0 the assessment on the dry lands, there remains a balance of Rs 8-12-4, ~~and~~ This balance together with the balance of the amount on the wet lands viz) 17-13-6 in all Rs 26-10-1 with the local fund have been entered in accordance with the rules against the tenants as recoverable from them. Govt. rules regarding this Inam as well as similar Inams elsewhere applies to the case. Besides this a proportionate channel contribution was to be received from these tenants- It is not possible to fix the previous ~~year~~ amount as ~~there~~ correspondence regarding the matter is going on between the Govt & the Inamdar. The amount is to be received after it is ~~not~~ fixed

R.
9/11/56

(25)

B.R. & I.]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

Memorial of Navy Devaswam, of Navy about remission of Khalsat —

In this case the Marine Superintendent is of the opinion that the Khalsat might be remitted if the whole of the lands in question are in the enjoyment of the temple — Vide his letter No 1144/1 5th May 1905 —

From the Marine Secretary's note dated 23rd June 1906, it is understood from Lee a

N. B.—Should routine notes be written on small Slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

MGCPB—30,000—20-7-05

(26)

Form No. 13]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

2

enquiry that the
lands in question are
in the actual en-
joyment of the A
- chik, who does
service in the temple

But from a
petition dated 17th Fe
bruary 1903 presented
by one Dodde, Gowda
to the then
Officer of French
Rocks, it is eviden
that one of the
lands comprising the
Inam No 186, he
is 19, assessed at
Rs 11/-1/0 has been
Sold by one the petitio
Arteche Gow
and that it contain
in his possession —

N. B.—Should routine notes be written on small Slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

MGCPB—30,000—20-7-05

Muz. 8 A1

(28)

Muzrai Secretariat	File No. of 190

Note continued

The Deputy Commissioner was written to to furnish further information on the subject

The Dy Commissioner has submitted the Amildar's detailed English Report furnishing all the available information. The Amildar reports that the lands noted in the margin are in the temple Khata. In the course of his report, he states that not one of these lands is in the possession and enjoyment of the Archak or the petitioner, but the former had been receiving the stipulated Kanakayam from the several holders according to the Sanad.

Submitted - The Amildar's English Report (Slip V) gives full details called for.

14/6/08

(Paper under disposal)

(Slip 9)

Survey nos 51, 51/2, 52, 53, 186, 187, 188, 190, 190/2 and 191

File No.	of 190	Muzrai Secretariat

GPB—3,000—25-9-07

My dear Lakshmana Rao

The Officer (Mr. C.S.B)
read the whole correspondence
& especially the Accountant's
English Report. He directs
me to send it on to you
I have accordingly sent the
entire file. In this case
H.H. has been pleased to
call it a "Report"

Yours sincerely
A Venkata Rao
10/7/08

9777
17.7.08

for the ad Kariyamuttam
Dewan's Chair 9.

6/6/08 The Dewan desires that a complete precis
of the entire vernacular correspondence may be
put up

B.O. Lee
30-3-09

A.V.
Put up a precis and
9.

W.

Serial No.

A Precis of the Vernacular Correspondence relating to the prayer of Lakshmidevamma for the remission of Khalsat on certain Inam lands endowed by the late Gunkar Nanjappa to the temple of Sri Prasanna Nanjundeswara Swamy situated in the Sri Srikanteswara Swamy temple at Nanjangud

Nanjangud Tk Amildar's no 204 Dy 4-10-1901 (Fe no 2) / 199

A Notice of Demand for a sum of Rs 443-0-7 being the arrears due till the end of June 1901 by the Pattadar no 114, was served on the Parpatyagar Venkatasubba Bhatta of the Sri Srikanteswara Swamy temple at Nanjangud. As the amount was not paid, the order declaring the forfeiture of the lands was issued under date 14th November 1901 and the lands were notified for sale

P. nos 51, 186, 190, 191

(Fe. 58)

On 16th December 1901, Venkata Subba Deekshitha, Archak of the Sri Prasanna Nanjundeswara Swamy temple petitioned the Sub Divl Officer stating that 18 Varahas of Inam land in Sannamanya Hariharpur Village ~~were~~ were in the temple khata, that during the Survey Settlement, the actual am

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—30,000—13 5 05

Serial No.

enjoyed by the temple being in exce of 18 Varahas, an assessment o Rs 26-8-0 was imposed, that he had been paying Local cess etc regularly that an Isthihar had been served upon him to the effect that these lands would be sold on 21st Decr 1907 for the recovery of Rs 444/ being the arrears of Khalsat. He represented that Govt had directed the remission of Khalsat on Devadaya Inam lands, e that in the Dasara meeting, the Devaru promised to consider such cases favorably and complained that it was unjust to sell these lands which would result in the cessation of services. He therefore prayed for the remission of Khalsat on the lands in question.

The following order recorded by Mr N. Venkata Rau, the then Sub Divl officer, was communicated to the Tk. authorities :— "If what is stated in this be true, the sale of the petrs. land is improper and unjust and is opposed to the spirit of the Govt Proi on the subject of Devadaya Inams. The sale should be stopped. A similar

GPB—50,000—13-5 08

Serial No.

order was issued long ago."

(Fc. 14) Patel Boge Gowda of Alambadi who it was understood, knew something about the matter, deposed before the Hobli Shekdar that the income from the lands was being recovered from Venkatasubba Bhatta and that he had only a sum of Rs 65 and odd which he would pay if directed.

(Fc. 15) On the 21st December 1902, the marginally noted lands were however sold by the Taluk Sheristedar in the presence of the Panchayatdars and were knocked down to the highest bidders for a total sum of Rs 54½ (Vide Havaj Patti). The usual deposit of 25% of the bid amount was also made.

S.nos. 186, 190, 191, 184 (wet lands)

The Taluk Sheristedar thereupon reported that these lands were Inam granted to the temple of Sri Prasanna Nanjundeswaraswamy, that arrears of Khalsat Jodi were due thereon for 17 years past, that it was learnt that the Shanbhogue and Patel were collecting annually a sum of Rs 80 and remitting it to the Archak, that he could not say with what

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—13-5 08

Serial No.

object such heavy arrears were allowed to accumulate, that no body interested in the temple was present at the time of sale and that the lands might be made over to the temple if the arrears were paid up He observed that the Govt order directing the remission of Khalsat was not strictly applicable to this case, as the lands were not in the first instance granted as Inam by Govt

(Fe 25) On the 17th February 1903, Dodde Gowda petitioned the Sub Divl officer stating that S no 186 which was sold for Govt dues, had been purchased by him on 24th February 1894 from Patel Huche Gowda and that Alambadi Boge Gowda was receiving from him the Stipulated Kandayam for the temple and prayed, that as the Inamdar was responsible for payment of arrears of Jodi etc., his right alone should be sold

(Fe. 32) On the same date, Kope Gowda petitioned the Sub Divl officer stating that portion of S no 190 measuring 1-9-5 and assessed at Rs 4-8-0 was in his possession and enjoyment for many years past

Note—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50 000—13-5-08

Serial No.

and that he was also paying Kandaya
yam to Boge Gowda and praying that
he should not be dispossessed of the
land for arrears due by the Inamdar

(7e 44) One Kulanadi Kalasa who also
petitioned the Taluk authorities, deposed
on the 23rd April 1903 that the other
half of S. no. 190 was sold to him
for Rs 140 some 14 years ago and
that he was also paying Gutta to
Boge Gowda.

On the petition of Doddabe Gowda,
the Sub Divl. officer passed the following
order:- "This is an Inam land granted
to a temple by the Inamdar. The
petr. and others have been cultivating
the land on condition of paying
the quit-rent regularly. Their failure
to do so entails on them the loss
of their right of occupancy in ad-
dition to the liability of paying
the arrears of quit-rent. The land
belonging to the temple should not
be sold. The arrears should be
recovered proportionately from those
who were in possession of the land
till now."

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50.000—18-5-08

Serial No.

On the 17th August 1903, a notice was issued to Patel Boge Gowda to appear before the Taluk authorities and to account for the temple monies received by him.

On the 18th August 1903, Boge Gowda deposed that under the Archak's order, he was collecting the gutta from the lands and that as he was laid up with illness, he could not give the details in the matter.

(Fe 47) With his deposition dated the 19th August, Nanje Gowda son of Boge Gowda produced copies of receipts in evidence of the remittance of gutta amounts from time to time by his father to the Archak.

On 22nd June and 11th August 19[illegible] widow of Venkatasubba Deekshith and Lakshmi Devamma, mother and guardian of minor Subbaraya Deekshith petitioned the Taluk authorities stating that the late Ijurikar Nanjap[illegible] had granted some lands in Hariharpu[illegible] Village as Inam for Sri Prasanna Nanjundeswaraswamy and that orders had been issued prohibiting the ryots from making payments of gutta amounts and praying that

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50.000—13-5-08

Serial No.

these orders might be held in abeyance, 2
the income being given over for services
in the temple.

She addressed similar petition
to the Dy. Commr which was refd
through the Sub Divl officer, to
the Amildar for submission of the
report already called for by him

(Fe 62/1 overleaf) In his Report no 124 Dy
8th December 1903, the Amildar state
that the lands in question are not
Jari Inams, that the Inamdar
granted 18 Varahas of land out of
the entire Inam Village for the
upkeep of the temple, that during
the Survey Settlement, the instn
was found to be in possession of
lands with a Beriz of Rs 79/ and
after deducting 18 Varahas, a sum
of Rs 26-8-0 was charged as Kandaya
on the excess, exclusive of the Local
cess of Rs ~~three~~ 4-15-0 and that the
Steps under the L.R. code were take
for the recovery of the arrears of
Land Revenue. He adds that as
the Revenue Sale of some of the temple
lands was cancelled by the

Note—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50.000—13-5-08

Serial No.

Sub Divisional officer on the petition of Dodde Gowda referred to, the deposits were refunded to the bidders concerned.

(Fe. no. 64) The above report was submitted by the Sub Divn. Officer of French Rocks to the Dy. Commr.

(Fe no. 71) The Dy. Commr. was thereupon requested to submit further information on the subject.

(Fe. no. 72) The Amildar enumerates the Inam lands belonging to the temple and reports that these lands are inalienable and that Kandayam was imposed on the area strip of land in excess of 18 Varahas and adds that the Sarvamanyadar can best decide as to what arrears of Kandayam are due.

(Fe. no 82) With her deposition Dy 25th December last, the petitioner Lakshmi-devamma has produced a copy of the Sannad relating to these lands.

(Fe. no. 80) Hag A. The Sannad is the deed of gift of land of the year "Pramodeecha-nama Samvatsara" and is dated 14th October 1853. It purports to say

GPB—50,000—13-5-08

Serial No.

That H H the late maharaja Krishna Raja Wadiyar Bahadur granted Harikarpur village as Inubli Sarvamanya to the late Gurukar Nanjappiah, that the latter sifted, lands with a Beriz of 18 Varahas out of it for Padidara, Deeparadhane, Notyakatle and Nedchukatle Aradhane, and the pay of the Archak, Paricharaka, and mantrapushpa man in the Sri Bakanna Nanjundeswara and Nandikeswaraswamy Temple, that the lands should continue as Inliganamya in the possession of the Archak for the time being, that these lands are inalienable and that Venkatasubba Deekshita may be the Archak subject to his rendering the stipulated services etc. regularly.

(Fe. no. 83)

The Amildar reports that one Srikantha Khatta who is said to possess the original Sanad, did not produce it though called upon to do so. and gives it as his opinion that as the lands have hitherto continued as Devadaya, Khalsa may be remitted.

(Fc. no. 65)

In this office letter no 5289

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50.000—18-5-08

Serial No.

dated 13th May 1907, the Dy. Commr was requested to furnish information as to whether all the lands assigned as Inam are actually in the possession of the Archak or any of them have been alienated and if so under what circumstances, or the Archak enjoys only the Kandayam of the land as an Inam, having no right to the actual possession of the land.

(Fe. no 86) Narasimha Gowda deposes before the Amildar of Krishnarajpet Taluk that he has been the Patel of the Hariharpur village for the past 40 years, that the Khata of the lands is in the name of the Deity, that the Archak was enjoying only the Kandayam on the land and never at any time before, province was given to him, that the lands were not in his possession, that the village was sold to one Kandhari Ummar Khan for the liquidation of the outstanding debt, and the latter sold it to Srinivasiengar for 25,000 Rs, that he was himself paying

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50 000—15-5-08

Serial No.

As 18-9-6 being the assessment on dry lands, to the Archak Venkatasubba Deekshita and during the Archak's absence, t Patel Boge Gowda.

(Fc. no 107) After examining the pet and the ryots of the Inam land the Amildar has submitted a detailed English Report which go fully into all the queries raised in this office letter of the 13th May 19 referred to above.

This case originated fr the memorial of Lakshmidevamm addressed to H. H. the Maharaja which was referred to this offic for report. After a good deal of corresp (Fc. no 109) ence, it is reported that the petitio is not traceable

Submitted.

AD 30/6/09

NOTE—Contn sheet.

GPB—50 000—18-5-08

Serial No.

Note by the Undersecretary, Irrigation Dept.

Under this order of the Deputy Inspector has been returned for a complete precis of the entire enormous correspondence being participated in Peria in lieu of the acting manager is appended

The case has been dealt with by a number of officers they it may appear presumptuous to presume what I think like a bread memorandum.

The case has to be viewed as a dispute between the Inamdar of Saravanaya Nanchanpore village and the owner of a Ekkal Inam in that Village.

The Ekkal Inam was granted by Sri 1853 by Sriranka Rajasinha (to whom this village was granted as Inam by the late Mudaliyar Sri Shyampo Krishnan) a Nobleman Borlander III for the feeding and Upkeep of ~~the Rajakula Nanpidesavasakam~~ a large Ca. accepted by them in Company the Brihmanarama Inamni temple and named the Rasanna Nanpidesavasi. It is said that anyway only 10 Varahs and odd were being paid in Cash and that later on the Mudaliyam of some specific Lands was assigned amounting to this sum was assigned. I believe this has led to Mr Balasundaram Iyer's query at page 27 of this Note " whether this exchange only the Mudaliyam of land, an Inam, having to pay a Ekkal assessment, he ... the Dharmakarta also seem to hold the Same View.

The Copy of this Sanad clearly refers to land and not a Lump of

Note—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—13-5 08

Serial No.

18 Varahas, and the last owner of the Village was B Gopala Iyengar has not allowed the portion to be used as it was of the Temple land. There can then be no doubt that the land itself is Inam

The Village changed hands a number of times and lastly came to the possession of the present owner Mr. Kasam Subramanya Iyengar who seems to have been aware of the condition that he should respect the Inam granted by the original owner. This condition was being complied.

The boundaries applied (Rate Rs 12½ Kistapu) to the land was surveyed and settled in 1885-86. The Village also was surveyed and settled then perhaps at the request of the Inamdar who is entitled to Varam Batki. The Inam being only a Shrotriem the Inam the Survey Dept did not recognise this; but entered the Sri Kalayanam lands in the Khatha of the Temple land (No. 96 Varam Baka) the Inamdar, however, allowed the Temple to enjoy the equivalent of 18 Varahas and pays the Peishcush fixed.

This arrangement is objected to by the present hereditary trustee, as the Village devastanam has been remitted what is also entitled to the concession.

Strictly speaking there is no question of Khatrani in this case the Survey dept did not look upon this as Inam and did not grant Inam patta and if there was any error they looked upon the land as Kalayanam land and charged it with full assessment

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—15-5 08

Serial No.

As however the Inam has been recognised by the Lander
We ~~many effects also~~ have title deeds
are appended to the case -
The Inamdar refers to letter No. 512 of 30 5 1879
from the Inam Commissioner to the Inam Supt
and Supt Nott No 153 of 12 12 1887
& his authority for claiming the Cesses. (Vide remarks
against Nos 85 and 94 in the Abstract of 1894 ~~above~~).
I do not know what the document of 1879 referred to above is
The Notn of 1887 referred to has been published in the Gazette
and is to be found at page 2 Part I of the Gazette of the
7th January 1888 It is the same as the Govt order No.
5046-54 — the 178 of 12 12 87 printed at page 67 of the book
from Govt records No 4 That order refers to Minor Inams in
Govt Villages As regards Cesses in Minor Inams in
Inam Villages the order is No - Camp 23 of Order and
3rd April 1890 printed at page 68 of the Book referred to above.
That order clearly says that the matter dispute if any,
between the holder of the Minor Inam
and Village Inamdar, is to be settled by a Civil Court.
If this ~~should be~~ the case in Minor Inams in Inam
Cess Villages it must, as a fortiori apply to the Minor
Inams Hence the matter now in dispute is one for
settlement by a Civil Court
I have sent to explain why this Case has come up
the year Supt In 1894 — the Collr has ordered
a Cess of 3 annas from Govt and 1 annas to the village.

Pay 57/-

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—13-5 08

Serial No.

Sometimes the management is in the Govt
A Khata written at the time when the Village is
made over to Govt is available - Unfortunately
it is not authenticated with any signature.
No 90 in this Khata is the Khata of this Idol A
sum of Rs 262-4-6 is shown as the arrears of previous
years This clearly shows that since the Govt Settlement in
1885-6 [the Survey Settlement is not to be introduced before
2000 and not after Govt obtained the management] this
Inam Board has not been able to recover anything from
the Archak of this idol - He does not also appear to have
taken action in a Court of Law.
After Govt obtained possession they tried to collect
the arrears. The Archak has been complaining
since then The previous Patels and Kulkarnis are
not available

As regards the such arrears Govt have in their order No 3453-61
of 3/12/1917 since ordered that they cannot be recovered R. 217-08-2

As regards the fee of this year subsequent to the
assumption by Govt I am not sure what the procedure
is to be. It seems to me that there should be a
difference between a Village which has been
assessed under section 165 of the Land Revenue Code
for arrears due to Govt and a Village which
has been mortgaged to Govt for a loan obtained -
In the latter case I suppose we are to do what is
bound to be the Inamdar under what for aid under

NOTE—Contn sheet.

Serial No.

Sections 97 and 98 of the Land Revenue Code. In the present case we could have only referred him to a Civil Court. Are we therefore now bound to refer to a Court for recovering his money? I submit this is not necessary. Apart from this item of Rs. 1800 and odd there is a large amount of kadaramai that is undisputed. The recovery thereof is wrongful in his hypothecation of the Government. After his retirement of his village he may take such action against his body as he chooses.

Another fact complicating this case cannot be omitted. This is that though the lands belong to his Trust the Archak they are in the enjoyment of ryots who like Kadaimai tenants pay a sum by the survey assessment to his Archak and transfer their rights of occupation to others. The Archak's contention is that they are not Kadaimaitenants. This has not to be decided now.

I beg to submit that we can only by summary process recover either the arrears or the current Kadaramai. In order to safeguard the rights of the Archak we might say that, without prejudice to this right, we will entertain this enquiry.

The facts are fresh in my mind & I have mentioned to him that a draft of the Memorandum & Demand notice and Commission.

There is some force in the petitioner's contention. If the petitioner mentions the point, she may be told to resort to a Civil Court.

Note—Contn. sheet.

G.P.S.—50,000—10-5-08

Serial No.

The ~~so~~ solution proposed by the Under Secretary in the draft order put up seems to be the only correct one. There is no doubt that the lands themselves were constituted the inam according to a long course of dealing by the inamdar. It cannot be now treated as a Cash inam — payable out of the assessment levied on the lands. At the Survey settlement, there was a difference between the Survey assessment and the valuation of the inam according to the Sanad. The question whether the inamdar is entitled to levy the difference as Jodi is a difficult one and is not governed by any of the Rules of the Rev. Dept. It may well be left to the determination of a Civil Court.

The only difficulty appears to be that Government being in possession as mortgagee, (the notes in the file do not clearly show in what capacity we are in possn but I believe my assumption is correct)

GPB—50,000—13.5.08

Serial No.

the Mortgagor has. Not perhaps an immediate right to sue, and before he gets possn again, the claim may become time barred. That the Mortgagor have left the arrears unrecovered while yet in possession, is a point that may go to ~~lessen~~ qualify our liability to guard his rights in respect of the Mortgaged property.

I think it would be safe to ascertain the following from and then obtain the Govt Advocate's opinion on the questn whether Govt is under an obligation to take steps to guard the rights of the Mortgagor as against the inam holder (the ~~temple~~ idol or the archak) and to recover any dues for him, and how such obligations are to be fulfilled if at all there is to be institution. The particulars information required is:

Next Contn. sheet

GPB—50 000—13-5-08

Serial No.

(1) On what terms and with what obligations, towards the inamdar, has the village come to the possession of Govt
(2) Is the village to be restored to the inamdar & when?

Further action may be taken after submission of the file to the Dewan.

4/7/09

3

Submitted to Dewan

The village has been resumed by Govt, for which a sum of Rs 2,000 is allowed by Govt to the inamdar under the provisions for granting pensions to deserving inamdars. The village has been granted, together with the inamdari rights

On being asked to state the reasons for the resumption of the inam, the Collector reports that the matter has been referred to Govt

If that be so, the case should be returned to Govt for orders

484
5/7/09

Govt Advocate may be consulted as proposed - Unless Govt who are now in possession of Management

X No 2816-8 Dy
R 352
18 8 1894

※ H D No 2886 Dt [illegible]

Note—Contn. sheet.

GPb—50,000—10-5-08

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. of 190

Serial No.

Consider that the Ramnad Zamindar was prompted in demanding the excess of 2 lakhs per annum, it does not seem incumbent upon Govt to launch a suit merely because the late Ramnad Zamindar chose to make such a demand.

Sd/- [illegible]

Let this case first be laid before the Govt Advocate officially for opinion

Requisition sent 19-7-09

19/7/1909

File No R 82 of 1895 re loan granted late V. Gopalaiyar received from the Pl. Pr. is submitted (listed)

G. O. No. 3 dated 21-7-09. Encls 3 files.

Forwarded officially to the Govt Advocate for opinion

By order

[illegible]

for Secretary

21-7-1909

G. O. 2. dated 23-7-09.

I was not able to find in the files forwarded, the mortgage deed executed by the late Mr. Gopalaiyar. As it is necessary to know the exact terms thereof,

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—13-5-08

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. of 190

Serial No.

The document as a copy of the same may be forwarded to me with the files.

A. Narayana Rao
Govt Advr:

23.7.09.

Write to DC & DJ-9 the withdrawal of the

Dr. Issues for Safe custody.

Issued

Sec'y

Diff in Govt's
27/7/09

27/7/09

To, The Dy Commr, Mysore Dist

No. 292 Dy 26:7:09

Calls for the original Deed executed

by the late Mr Gopaliengar.

From, the same officer,

Letter no 62 Dy 11.8:09.

Submits the same in a sealed cover

R1

U/o no 5 AD 23.8.09

Submitted 23.8.09

Forwarded to the Govt Advocate with the original document received from the Dy Commr.

By order

Secretary

Bangalore 25/8/09

C.O No: 12

The mortgage deed stipulates among other things, that the hypothecated village shall be in the ---- management

Note—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000 -13.5.08

Serial No.

management of the Amildar and that out of the ---- income realised a sum of Rs.1662-10-8 shall be -- annually appropriated towards the principal and -- interest until the debt is fully discharged. ---- Neither this document nor the Government Proceedings referred to therein alludes to the arrears of assessment due by the ryots. I am therefore of -- opinion that the Government have no right to collect arrears of assessment due for years previous to the date of mortgage. The mortgagor was at --- liberty to collect them inspite of the mortgage . If any portion thereof was recovered by Government it was unauthorised. It is therefore advisable to inform the representatives of the late Mr. Gopal-Iyengar to make their own arrangements for the recovery of such arrears.

2. As mortgagees in possession, the Government -- are bound to collect, with due deligence, from the ryots, the candayam payable by them, and will be liable to make good any loss arising from their -- negligence. The right of the mortgagor to demand the Khalsat in question was denied by the Pujari; and the mortgagor did not make any attempt to enforce this right for nine years he was in possessic of the village before mortgage. It can firly be - contended for the Pujari that the land itself was made a gift of to the deity and not a certain amount

out

GPB—50,000—13-5-08

Serial No.

out of the income thereof. The gift was not qualified by any reservation that when the assessment of the land was enhanced, the donor was to get the -- difference between the old and the new assessments. Thus the claim itself is a highly doubtful one and no negligence can be imputed to the mortgagee if no - suit be filed to enforce such a claim.

3. If the claim of the mortgagor to the khalsat in question be considered good, the only way it can be enforced is by a suit to be instituted by the Deputy Commissioner of Mysore, on behalf of the Government of Mysore, as the mortgage deed stands in his name. The heirs of the mortgagor will have to be made -- proforma parties to the suit.

5. Before launching a suit of this kind the ---- question of limitation will have to be considered. The Survey settlement seems to have taken place in 1885 when the Khalsat was claimed by the mortgagor and the pujari seems to have denied his right to - make such a demand. Whether or not this right be regarded as a recurring one for which a cause of action arises from day to day or form year to year, the claim seems to be barred by either the 12 years' rule under article131 or by the 6 years rule under article 120 of the second schedule of Limitation - Regulation.

26/8/09.

S. Narayana Rao
Govt Advocate

Note—Contn. sheet.

Rec'd 26/8/09

GPB—50 000—13-5-08

G.R.S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 81 of 1902

Serial No. 109

1766

Recommended to Dewan through Secretary Councillor with the first Advocate's opinion

Abdurrahman
27/8/1902

Draft as amended by me may be issued

Hay
6/9/02

15

Submitted to Dewan
Abdurrahman
6/9/02

2352
6/9/02

A report has been called for from the A.A. on petition dated 26th Dec. 1903 the papers in connection with the A.A. - The draft portion may be returned

Hay
Jany 10

Return draft put up. Put up an endorsement to the Secretary of Prosecution Branch for information.

13/1/1910

Noted

Issue and return file to me

29/1/1910

NOTE—Contn sheet

GPB—50 000—13-5-08

Submitted to His Highness.

The accompanying papers relate to a memorial addressed to His Highness by Lakshmi Devamma, widow of Venkata Subba Dikshit, late Archik of the Sri Prasanna Nanjundeswara Swami, a minor shrine in the Sri Srikanteswara Swami temple in the Nanjangud Taluk, praying for the remission of the Khalsat due on certain Inam lands belonging to the said shrine.

2. A report was called for in the Private Secretary's memo No.1437 dated 27th February 1903.

3. The lands in question are situated in the Sarvamanyam Hariharpur village in the Krishnarajpet Taluk and were assigned to the temple not by Government but by the original Inamdar of the Village Gurikar Nanjappa, who, in 1853, made a gift of land with a beriz of 18 Varahas for Paditaradeeparadhane and other services in the said minor shrine consecrated by him.

4. The village changed hands a number of times and has at present come under Government management for a loan of Rs.20,000 sanctioned to Mr. B. Gopal-

Iyengar

44

Gopalnagar (late Huzur Treasury Officer) in Government Proceedings No.2816-C/R.262 dated 18th August 1891.

Q (Linked file)

5. At the Survey Settlement an assessment of Rs.79 was fixed upon the lands and the Inamdar after allowing the temple to retain the equivalent of 18 Varahas, or Rs.52-6-11, demanded payment of the excess Rs.26-10-1, as Jodi. The memorialist objected to the payment and urged that as Devadaya Khalasi had been remitted in Government villages, a similar concession should be allowed in this case also.

J

6. The matter has been under correspondence for the past six years and the question of the rules applicable to the case has also been fully considered and discussed.

7. The lands being "Stala Inam" not recognized at the Inam Settlement(i.e. local inam only locally recognized), the matter is one for determination by a Civil Court, as directed in Government Proceedings No. Camp 23 dated 3rd April 1890. The action proposed to be taken in the matter is explained in the accompanying draft order, which may be approved.

8. A copy of the opinion of the Government Advocate on the case contained in his note of the

26th August 1909, will be forwarded to the Deputy Commissioner of Mysore for information, as, besides explaining the case, it contains also certain pronouncements which would be of use to local officers administering the affairs of Inam villages temporarily under Government management. It will be communicated to other Deputy Commissioners also.

BR

3 Jan/10

Record of Cases submitted for the Orders of His Highness the Maharaja.

Subject.

2.1.1910
7/61

Memorial of Lakshmi Devamma, praying for the remission of the Dalsat due on certain inam lands, belonging to the Sri Prasanna Nanjundeswara Swami, a minor shrine in the Sri Srikanteswara Swami's temple, in the Nanjangud Taluk.

7/3/61-10
448

Order.

~~KRIP~~
4/1/10

7/1/10

7/1/10

NOTE.—Contn. sheet.

Serial No.

See para 8 of his note submitting the file to His Highness, the Dewan has said thus :—

A copy of the opinion of the Government Advocate on the case contained in his note of the 26th August 1909 will be forwarded to the Deputy Commissioners of Cheyne for information As, besides explaining the case, it contains also certain pronouncements which should be of use to local officers administering the affairs of Inam Villages temporarily under Government management. It will be communicated to other Deputy Commissioners also.

The Government Advocate's note refers to two matters :— (1) The collection of arrears that accrued prior to the assumption of the management of the Village by Government, and (2) the diligence to be exercised annually

As regards (1) Govt. in their order No. 3453-61 / L R 217-06-2 dated 23rd Dec. 1907 have clearly said that in the case of Villages the management is assumed by Govt.

GPB—55,000—27-1-09

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

Mung File No. 87 of 1902

Serial No.

For default in payment of Govt dues the arrears due by the ryots prior to the assumption of management cannot be recovered by Government This must also apply to villages mortgaged to Government As there is no clear order so far as I know, ~~an order~~ one now ~~has to~~ be issued by the Revenue Department

As regards (2) I may be permitted to say that the responsibility pointed out does not appear to be realised While the Amildar's work as regards the collection of Kandayam in Government villages is carefully supervised there does not appear to be the same supervision as regards the collection of Kandayam in the Inam villages I am not sure that any D. C. B Statement as regards these is called for or produced There is a D. C. B. Statement as regards loans, but that has nothing to do with the D C B of Kandayam due by ryots

The State of things generally is that the Shanbogue collects the Kandayam and remits to the Treasury what amount he pleases This amount is according to instructions adjusted towards the interest

NOTE.—Contn. sheet.

GPB—5,000—27-1-09

Serial No.

and disbursement of principal I am not sure that any enquiry made of the instalment is short of the Stipulated Amount. I do not remember any such enquiry during the period I was Assistant Commissioner though there were such villages in the Taluks forming my Charge The Shanbogue generally enables a pretty large percentage of the collections The Inamdar in the meantime rests secure in the belief that Government will recover the money properly; and though the balance of debt is annually intimated to him by the Comptroller through the Revenue Department the Inamdar does not bestir himself to admit or deny its correctness

I had a great desire to bring these State of things to the notice of Government and I am glad there has been an opportunity The idea that the Government officers are bound to collect the Kandayees with due diligence and will be liable to make good any loss arising from their negligence has to be impressed on all officers by the Financial or Revenue Branch

GPB—55,000—27-4-09

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

Revenue File No. 87 of 1912

Serial No.

--- submitted to Secretary for transfer to the Branch concerned

Assistant Secretary
4/5/1910

The matter may be dealt with in the Rev Branch in a separate file.

C.S.
4/5/1910

I to Br Dy Secretary Land for addressing the papers of this Branch.

B.O.
Assistant Secretary

Mis 160/R

Subd.

Needful has been done. Vide L.R. 423 of 1909 serial 1.

May the unrecorded papers of the mutt Br now be returned to that branch?

18/5/1910

18/5/10 To Branch I/II Kindly

18/5/10 19/5/1910 ~~May these papers be filed~~ The matter has been dealt with in the Rev Branch May these papers be filed now? Branch 21/5/10

21/5/10

B

NOTE—Contn. sheet

8PG—550 0—7 1 09

No. 1143 En 2 & 1144

D 24/11/02.

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,

MUZRAI DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

No.

The accompanying Petition No. 865 dated 14 11 02 received at the Dewan's Office from Lakshmi Devamma of Nanjangud praying that the Khalsah amounts due on the temple lands may be remissioned as per Govt Dro no 2900 73-83 dt 9-1-1902 is forwarded, together with its enclosures, to the Dy Comr Mysore for a brief report.

By Order

Bangalore, 14-11-1902. } Under Secretary

Mus Dept.

OFFICE OF THE PRIVATE SECRETARY
2-3-03
48
678
BRANCH

no 1437/1

OFFICE OF THE PRIVATE SECRETARY
TO HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

Mysore, 27th February 1903

Rec
2/3/03

Petition — as shown below, to His Highness the Maharaja, is

transferred to the Deputy Secretary to the Government of Mysore, Mulgai Department, Bangalore for report.

No.
879
26.2.03

By Order,

I. C. S.
Private Secretary
to H. H. The Maharaja of Mysore.

vide no. 1801 dt 7.3.03.

Dft Reply to the Private Secretary to H H

Submitted to the Dewan

Reply proposed to be sent to the Private Secretary to H.H the Maharaja

13/7/03

1388
13.VII 03

0289

14.VII 03

14/7/03

This is a petition from Lakshmidevamma of Nanjungud praying for remission of the Khalsat amounts due on certain temple lands. The original petition addressed to this office was forwarded to the Dy: Commr. Mysore Dt for report on the 20th Novr 1902. The Memorial addressed to H. H. the Maharaja was also forwarded in Continuation on the 26th February 1903. Official and D.O. reminders have also been since sent but his reply has not been received.

By Order

Dy. Secy

Dy. Secy
Maharaja's Dt

11/7/03

Reminder

R.O. 1801 ~~Encl~~ & 1802, Dt 7/3/03

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,

MUZRAI DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

No.

The accompanying Petition No. 48/678

dated 26th Feb: 03 ~~received at the Dewan's Office from~~ addressed to H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore by Lakshmi Devamma of Nanjangud re the Khalasat amounts due on the temple lands.

is forwarded, ~~together with~~ its ~~enclosures~~, to the Deputy Comr Mysore District, in continuation of this office memo No 1143 Dt 24th November 1902 and to request that the submission of the report therein called for may be expedited.

By Order,

Draft.

BANGALORE,

189 . } Dy ~~Muzra~~ Secretary Muzrai Department

Rs

4/3/03

Encl to petn

MUZRAI

19 —12

From

DEPUTY SECRETARY,
GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE,
MUZRAI DEPARTMENT.

To

The Dy Comr
Mysore Dt

Dated 12th April '03.

Despatched

Received Camp. D. Narsipur

General No. No. 2075

Muzrai F. No.

Enclosure No 15.4.03.

Mem Office No 1143 dy 24th Novr 1902 forwarding for report petition from Lakshmi Devamma of Nanjangud, praying for the remission of the Khalsah amounts due on the temple lands, as per Govt Bo: No $\frac{2-100}{73-83}$ dated 1st January '02, and the Subsequent Reminder No 1801 dated 7th March '03.

"

MGCPB—2,000—7-6 02

V. Las

I am the honor to
draw his attention to the
above and to request
that the report therein
called for may be
submitted immediately.

By order

A.F.C.

Dy Secretary

RS Murray Dept

12/6/03



1903-04

From
B. H. Venkataramana Ayengar &
Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District

To
C. Anantasama Rao Esq: B.A
Deputy Secretary to the Govt of
Mysore, Mungri Department
Bangalore

Dated 15th

Despatched January 1904

Received

General No Public Misc. No. 91
No.

Enclosure No. 1 & 2

Forwarding a petition praying the Brahmo Samaj bearer upon Sriramana nanjunda ma Swami for permission this Brahmo Samajam temple. Proposed are not objectionable & Government Brahmo Samaj bearer upon the Brahmo Samaj Bangalore.

Refer
ks
18/1/04

No. 71

[illegible]

Vide [illegible] 2193 [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]

[illegible] 1/04

[illegible] [illegible] — [signature]

[illegible] 11-1-1904

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 9-1 1902 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 24-11 1902 [illegible] 11

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

నాందాల్రింద సొంతర్చల్సిక్క, సైనాంది లుంచేటట్లు వేళ్ళతిభూట
సైనాం లార్చ్లనోంచెటట్లు వేళ్ళలుపవళ్ళెంచే శ్రీంభగవాన్ సేవ
లిచ్చు లాక్షిగవతిం ద వెలిశెద 22-12-1903 వేసుంద్యం 8గ్ల, నైనగా
కైంద్రాక్షగ రవళ్ళివేద్రాం వంతిపళ్ళి వెళ్ళి సైంగళాచ్చి రాళ్ళపలు ఇచ్
నళుబర్-టలు టలులాపిగే రవళ్ళితిళ్ళి—

Early MUZRAI

19 —19

FROM

To No D, Collr.
Madura

Dated 29th January 1904

Despatched

Received

General No 2193.
Muzrai F. No. 165-92

Enclosure

Adverting to his
No 71 D/ 15th January 19
reporting on the
of Lakshmi Devammia regd
the remission of kist
on certain inam la
Granted to the Sri Prasa
Nanjundeswaraswamy
the Sri Srecanteswaraswa
temple at [illegible]
the honor. to re-
the ict [illegible]

MGCPB—2,000—9 6 02

addressed to H.H. the Maharaja
which was forwarded for
report with this office
PM No 1807 dy 7th March 1903,
may be returned for reference
as the same is not forthcoming
the Vernacular records
received with the Rukkam
dar ref. to the favour
the very early transmission
the petn in question is
requested.

By order

24/1/04

Dy Secy

Mus. Dept.

DDL

5/1/04

D. O to [illegible] Mysore.

My dear Sir,

I beg to invite your ~~kind~~ attention to this Office Docket no. 2193 Dy 29th January 1904 and subsequent reminder no. 618 Dy 5th August 1904 requesting that the petn of Lakshmi Devamma (re the remission of Khalsat on inam land addressed to H.H. the Maharaja. and which was forwarded for report with this Office no. 180/Dy 7th March 1903 may be returned to this Office at an early date. ~~and requesting that the same may be returned without further delay.~~

As the matter cannot be finally disposed without the petition in question I shall be obliged by ~~causing it to be~~ your kindly returning it to me your earliest convenience.

~~Hoping you are &~~

~~I beg to remain~~

Yours ~~Very~~ Sincerely

2/2/05

H.H. Yuvaraja Mysore

MYSORE GOVERNMENT
2974 - 1905
~~1904~~ -
MUZRAI SECRETARIAT

From H. H. Sparkes Esq:
Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District.

To
L. Anantasawmi Rao Esqr. B.A
Secretary to the Govt of H.H. the
Maharaja of Mysore, Muzrai
Department

Dated 6th February 1905

Despatched 7th Do

Received.

General No. 249
No.

Enclosure No. Nil

States that the petitio
of Lakshmi Devamma
will be returned after
receipt of the same
from the Assistant
Commissioner, Kadur
- Kaipet Division.

ks
9/2/05

Please put up a note

ks
10/2/05

No 249

Deputy Commissioner's Office,
Mysore District
Dated, Mysore 6th February 1905.

To

L. Anantasawmi Rao Esq: B.A.
Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Mugtaa Department.

Sir,

In reply to your D.O. letter of the 3rd February 1905 requesting that the petition of Lakshmi Devamma (re the remission of Khalsat on Inam lands) addressed to His Highness the Maharaja and forwarded to this office with your official memorandum No 1801 of the 7th March 1903, may be returned, I have the honor to state that the Assistant Commissioner in charge of the Krishnarajpet Division has been requested to return the petition which on receipt will be forwarded to you.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
your most obedient Servant

Attabady
Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District.

MC(O)

Margin.

No. 3026 dt 18.2.05

Mudrai F. 165-92

2, The Superintendent of Inam Settlement in Mysore

Sir, The marginal noted lands in Akkihebbal Hobli & Sub of Harihanpur village in the Krishnarajpet Taluk are stated to have been granted as Inam to the Sri Prasanna Nanjundeswara Swamy Temple in Nanjangud. The Quit-rent payable on the Inam lands having fallen into arrears, the local authorities ordered its recovery from those who were in possession of the lands. The Archak of the Temple

Sur no	Extent: A G	Assessment
'86.	1 19.	11-0-0
'91.	1. 3.	7-8-0
'51	4. 10.	4-0-0
90.	2. 11.	15-0-0

Inclosed that the ~~accrued~~
arrears in question represent the
amount of Khalsat
on the temple inam lands
and ~~that~~ prays therefore
for remission under the
orders conveyed in Govt.
Pro: No R 2973-83 of 9th
January 1902.

2. With reference to the
above, I am directed
to request that you will
be so good as to state
whether the inam lands
in question are "Devadaya
and if so to furnish this
office with an extract
of the Inam Regr relating

to the grant, and to
whether in your opinion
the prayer of the Archan
for the remission of the
on the mainlands
Khalsa is reasonable
and may be accepted
the favour of an early
reply is requested.

I have &c.

D.F. 14/2/05

Sec

Dr 14/2/05 Mun. Sec'y

2/1905
Pl. see
by
C.

Inam Superintendent's Office
Dated, Bangalore 28th February/19
1st March 1905

No 9

From

The Superintendent of
Inam Settlements
in Mysore

To

The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore
Munzrai Department.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No
3026 of the 15th February 1905, regard
ing the grant, as Inam, of lands
to Sri Prasanna Venjumdeswara
swamy temple in Nanjangud, in
the Sarva Manyam village of
Hanchapur in the Krishna Rajpet
Taluk I have the honor to state
that the Inam lands in question

have not been dealt with by the
Siam Department, as Siam and
cruisers etc by the Survey Department
under Excess Rules. I am too ignorant
of the actual facts to hazard an
opinion upon the reasonable nature
or otherwise of the Archbishop's prayer

I have the honor to be
Sir
your most obedient servant

[signature]

Supt of Siam Settlt:-

Enclosure

No. 3829 Encl. file

~~No. 1165/05~~

Dy 18.4.05.

To Mys F 87-02

The Superintendent

of Census Settlements

in Mysore

Sir,

With reference to

your letter no 97/3257 dated

1st March 1905, stating

that your case "has

impressed you of the actual

facts to bring out an

opinion" in the matter

of the prayer of one

Lakshmidevamma of

Nanjangud, regarding

the Khatsal imposed

on certain ~~Inamdars~~ land.

I am directed to ~~state~~ give

give a brief account of the case,
which is as follows:—

The village of Humpapur
in the Krishnarajpet Taluk
is a Devaswamy village
under the management
of Govt in the Revenue Dept:
Some lands with a levy
of 18 varahas were given
by the Zamindars as
Inam to the Sri Prasanna
Venkateswaraswami
temple at Venkatapur but
the lands in question were
not dealt
with by the Inam Department
as Inam and consequently
at the Survey Settlement
a Levy of Rs 7 9 was
imposed fixed thereto
and after deducting
18 varahas therefrom

the balance of Rs 26-8-0
and the local cess of
Rs 4-15-0 on the full
Basig were imposed as
Kandayam on the said
lands - This Kandayam
having fallen into
arrears, the lands
were sold by public
auction by the Amildar
but the sale
was cancelled by the
Sub-Division Officer
pending the decision
of the question by the Govt, as to whether
the Govt Lr no R2973-83
dated 9th January 1902,
directing the remission
of the assessment on
temple Kulkul lands

Can be made applicable

to the case - The contention

of the petitioner Lakshmi

(wife of the deceased Mijuri)

- divorcee is that-

the case should be

disposed of under

the authority of the Govt-

order referred to above

by remitting the arrears of

~~the arrears of~~ which have

~~accrued~~ accumulated

to the extent of more

than 5 hundred rupees -

The Govt- order on the

subject- deals with

"Temple Inam lands"

but- it- is not- clear

whether it refers only to

~~Inam~~ Inams granted

by the Zamindars of

the State or by private

individuals as well,

~~which~~ the lands are actually in the enjoyment of Temples —

The favour of your opinion on this point is requested

2. The Government records on the subject received from the Dy Comr are herewith forwarded for reference and obliging return.

I have &c

Dpl- [illegible] 19/1/05

Secretary to Govt Irrigation Dept

[illegible]
30/3/05

190 4-05



From The Superintendent of Inam Settlements in Mysore

To The Secretary to the Government of Mysore Mazrai Department.

Dated 5th. } May 1905
Despatched 8th }

Received

General No.
No. 114

Enclosure No. one file.

Offering opinion about the levy of Khalsā amount on temple Inam lands granted by private individuals.

Refers
15
8/5/05

Office note subd. pro. 6
4/
3/5/05

Inam Superintendent's Office
Camp Doddaramanad 5th May 1905.
-8-

No. 114.
Enc 1 file.

From

The Superintendent of
Inam Settlements
in Mysore.

To

The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore
Muzrai Department.

Sir,

I have the honor to acknow=
=ledge receipt of your letter No. 3829
of the 17th April 1905, regarding the
prayer of one Lakshmidevamma of
Nanjangode for the remission of so
called khalsa under Government
Order No. K 2973-83 dated 9th Janu=
=ary 1902. Apparently, Rs 26-8-0 is the
kandayem imposed upon the balance
of the temple lands after deducting 18
varahas from the Survey levy of 1879.

2. The Government Order quoted above cannot of course strictly apply, for the lands in question were not dealt with by the Inam Department nor by the Survey Department in the Inam Statement and consequently there is no Khalsa proper. The Order of 1902 is however so worded, directing, as it does, the 'remission' of the assessment on temple khalsa lands in actual enjoyment of temples, that the excess in the present case which is practically although not literally khalsa may I think, if the whole of the lands are really in the enjoyment of the temple, be remitted. I have not the settlement papers at hand and the vernacular correspondence does not afford precise information as to how the present case was dealt with at the

Survey

Survey, Settlement and I can therefore only give my opinion on general grounds.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
your most obedient servant

Superintendent of Swan Setts.

Mysore.

No. 4698 Encl/file
Jud. E 165 92
3.6.05.

To, Jud 1-87-02
The Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District.

Sir,

Referring to the Correspondence
ending with Your letter
No. 249 & 64 dt. 7th Feb. 1905,
regarding the prayer of
one Lakshmi Devamma
for the remission of Khadak
on the main lands of the
Sri Parvana Nanjundeswara
Swami Temple at Nanjangud,
I am directed to request
to be furnished with the
following information
Govt. to pass final
in the matter.

(1) Why if the memo
communication cited above

Byd
13/06

belongs to the Temple, it
is in the enjoyment of the Archak.
(2) Is not the Archak
otherwise remunerated?
(3) Is the Temple in question
the main temple or the
~~the~~ a Minor one established in
~~connection with the~~ the
~~the~~ main temple?
~~temple of any other idol?~~
(4) To whom was the land
granted? To the Archak
or the Temple?
(5) How is the land registered
& in whose name?

2. ~~Also~~ The original
or a copy of the Sanad
granting the land in question
as inam to the Temple shd
be obtained & forwarded early.

3. The Revenue are to be remitted
for Fasli 1315 (1905 Devanagari date)

Thanjavur
15/6/06.

From

To

The Dy. Commr
Mysore Dist

Dated

Despatched

Received

General No.

No.

Enclosure No.

This office no 1434 dated 26th Feby 1903 re the remission of Khalsat on the Inam lands of the Srisanna Nanjundeswaraswamy temple at Nanjangud and subsequent reminders marginally noted.

(no. 994 of 24th Aug. 1905,
D O. of 31st Octr. 1905,
no 3410 of 20th Feby. 1906)

Has the honor to forward herewith the marginally noted petition with an enclosure on the subject and to request an early subn of the report already called for in the communication cited above.

(ಸಂ.ಸ.3913 of 13th March 1906
ಡಿ ಸಂ 3926 of 14th March 1906)

By order

13/06

ಸಹಾಯಕ ಕಾರ್ಯದರ್ಶಿ

ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಯವರ ಪತ್ರ



12

14/3/06

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 10 · 3 · 1906

[illegible]

Mz 1 A]

MUZRAI SECRETARIAT.

190 —190

Submitted.
Translate [illegible]
[illegible]
Received
Ans
22/6/06

From

L. ANANTASAMI RAO, Esq., B.A.,
Secretary to the Government of
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
Muzrai Department

To

The Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

Dated

Despatched

Received

General No.
Muzrai F. No.

Enclosure No

This office no 1437 dated 26th February 1903 regarding the remission of Khalsat on the Inam Lands of the Prasanna Nanjundeswaraswamy Temple at Nanjingud and subsequent reminders marginally × noted

× no: 997 dated 24th August 1905
D. O dated 31st October 1905
no 3410 dated 20th February 1906

× no 3913 dated 13th March 1906
" 3926 dated 14th March 1906

Has the honor to forward herewith the marginally × noted petitions with an enclosure on the subject and to

GPB—2,000—7 2-06

request an early submission of the report already called for in the communications cited above.

By order

L. Marshall

Secretary

MYSORE C[illegible]MENT
3962
16.3.06
[illegible]RAI SECRET[illegible]

[illegible]

3/2/1906 [illegible]

[illegible]

No 1626
28-5-09

ಸೇವೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಹಿರಿಯ ಸಹಾಯಕ ಕಾರ್ಯದರ್ಶಿಗಳವರಿಗೆ
ಆಡಳಿತ ಸುಧಾರಣಾ ಮತ್ತು ತರಬೇತಿ ಇಲಾಖೆ
ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು

ವಿಷಯ: ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ಲೋಕಸೇವಾ ಆಯೋಗದ ಸದಸ್ಯರ ನೇಮಕಾತಿ

ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ಲೋಕಸೇವಾ ಆಯೋಗದ ಸದಸ್ಯರ ಹುದ್ದೆಯು ಖಾಲಿಯಾಗಲಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ಸದರಿ ಹುದ್ದೆಗೆ ಅರ್ಹ ವ್ಯಕ್ತಿಗಳಿಂದ ಅರ್ಜಿಗಳನ್ನು ಆಹ್ವಾನಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ. ಸದರಿ ಹುದ್ದೆಗೆ ಅರ್ಜಿ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಲು ಕೊನೆಯ ದಿನಾಂಕವನ್ನು 16-5-09 ಎಂದು ನಿಗದಿಪಡಿಸಲಾಗಿತ್ತು. ಆದರೆ ಈವರೆಗೆ ಕೇವಲ 1620 ಅರ್ಜಿಗಳು ಮಾತ್ರ ಸ್ವೀಕೃತವಾಗಿವೆ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಅರ್ಜಿ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಲು ಕೊನೆಯ ದಿನಾಂಕವನ್ನು 31-5-09 ರವರೆಗೆ ವಿಸ್ತರಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ.

ಆಯುಕ್ತರು

ಬೆಂ 16-5-09.

(2) ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ಲೋಕಸೇವಾ ಆಯೋಗದ ಸದಸ್ಯರ ನೇಮಕಾತಿ 1994-95 ಕಡತ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ಆಇಸು 184 ಸೇಆಯೋ 94

(3) ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ಲೋಕಸೇವಾ ಆಯೋಗದ ಸದಸ್ಯರ ನೇಮಕಾತಿ 1997-98 ಕಡತ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ಆಇಸು 184 ಸೇಆಯೋ 97

ಸೇವೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಹಿರಿಯ ಸಹಾಯಕ ಕಾರ್ಯದರ್ಶಿಗಳವರಿಗೆ
ಆಡಳಿತ ಸುಧಾರಣಾ ಮತ್ತು ತರಬೇತಿ ಇಲಾಖೆ
ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು

ಸಂ 11/ಸು-ಆ.

ఫారం నెం. 6 (రూల్ 43 చూడండి)

కుటుంబ రిజిస్టర్ - 1896-97 సంవత్సరానికి

క్రమ సంఖ్య	ఇంటి నంబరు	ఇంటి యజమాని పేరు	కుటుంబ సభ్యుల పేర్లు	వయస్సు	కుటుంబ పెద్దతో సంబంధం	వృత్తి	వ్యాఖ్యలు
1	47	కుప్పుస్వామి	కుప్పుస్వామి	52	కుటుంబ పెద్ద	వ్యవసాయం	
			లక్ష్మమ్మ	48	భార్య	గృహిణి	
			వెంకటేశ్వర్లు	25	పెద్ద కుమారుడు	వ్యవసాయం	
			సుబ్బమ్మ	22	పెద్ద కోడలు	గృహిణి	
			రామకృష్ణ	3	మనవడు		
			చిన్నతల్లి	19	చిన్న కుమార్తె	విద్యార్థిని	
2	48	అబ్దుల్ రహీమ్	అబ్దుల్ రహీమ్	60	కుటుంబ పెద్ద	వడ్రంగి	
			ఫాతిమా బీ	55	భార్య	గృహిణి	
			షేక్ మహబూబ్	30	పెద్ద కుమారుడు	వడ్రంగి	
			నూర్జహాన్	27	పెద్ద కోడలు	గృహిణి	
			షేక్ అలీ	8	మనవడు		
			షేక్ బాబు	5	మనవడు		
3	49	పోతురాజు	పోతురాజు	70	కుటుంబ పెద్ద	పూజారి	
			గంగమ్మ	65	భార్య	గృహిణి	
			రాజేష్	35	పెద్ద కుమారుడు	పూజారి	
			లలిత	32	పెద్ద కోడలు	గృహిణి	
			పోతురాజు	10	మనవడు		
			సరస్వతి	7	మనవరాలు		
			వీరభద్రుడు	4	మనవడు		
4	50	రామయ్య	రామయ్య	45	కుటుంబ పెద్ద	కూలీ	
			సీతమ్మ	40	భార్య	గృహిణి	
			కృష్ణ	18	పెద్ద కుమారుడు	కూలీ	
			రాధ	15	కుమార్తె	విద్యార్థిని	
			లక్ష్మణ్	12	కుమారుడు	విద్యార్థి	
			హనుమంతు	9	కుమారుడు	విద్యార్థి	
			గోపాల్	6	కుమారుడు		
			సీత	3	కుమార్తె		
5	51	సుబ్బారావు	సుబ్బారావు	55	కుటుంబ పెద్ద	వ్యవసాయం	
			పార్వతి	50	భార్య	గృహిణి	
			రమేష్	28	పెద్ద కుమారుడు	వ్యవసాయం	
			సునీత	25	పెద్ద కోడలు	గృహిణి	
			అర్జున్	7	మనవడు		
			భీమ్	4	మనవడు		
6	52	నాగేశ్వరరావు	నాగేశ్వరరావు	62	కుటుంబ పెద్ద	పంతులు	
			సావిత్రి	58	భార్య	గృహిణి	
			శ్రీనివాస్	32	పెద్ద కుమారుడు	ఉపాధ్యాయుడు	
			లక్ష్మి	30	పెద్ద కోడలు	గృహిణి	
			నాగేశ్వరరావు	6	మనవడు		
			సాయి	3	మనవడు		
7	53	వెంకటరమణ	వెంకటరమణ	40	కుటుంబ పెద్ద	వ్యాపారం	
			రాధిక	36	భార్య	గృహిణి	
			కిరణ్	14	కుమారుడు	విద్యార్థి	
			మధు	11	కుమార్తె	విద్యార్థిని	
			వంశీ	8	కుమారుడు		
8	54	ఎల్లమ్మ	ఎల్లమ్మ	75	కుటుంబ పెద్ద	వితంతువు	
			రాములు	45	కుమారుడు	కూలీ	
			సుగుణ	40	కోడలు	గృహిణి	
			మల్లేష్	20	మనవడు	కూలీ	
			లక్ష్మి	17	మనవరాలు	విద్యార్థిని	
9	55	షేక్ మస్తాన్	షేక్ మస్తాన్	58	కుటుంబ పెద్ద	దర్జీ	
			బీబీ జాన్	52	భార్య	గృహిణి	
			షేక్ ఇమామ్	28	పెద్ద కుమారుడు	దర్జీ	
			షేక్ ఖాసిం	25	రెండవ కుమారుడు	దర్జీ	
			షేక్ రహీమ్	22	మూడవ కుమారుడు	విద్యార్థి	
			షేక్ అమీనా	19	కుమార్తె	విద్యార్థిని	
10	56	చిన్నయ్య	చిన్నయ్య	68	కుటుంబ పెద్ద	కుమ్మరి	
			పెద్దమ్మ	63	భార్య	గృహిణి	
			రాజు	38	పెద్ద కుమారుడు	కుమ్మరి	
			సరోజ	35	పెద్ద కోడలు	గృహిణి	
			చిన్నయ్య	12	మనవడు		
			పెద్దమ్మ	9	మనవరాలు		
			రవి	6	మనవడు		
			లక్ష్మి	3	మనవరాలు		

ఈ కుటుంబ రిజిస్టర్ 1896-97 సంవత్సరానికి గ్రామ పంచాయతీ వారిచే నిర్వహించబడుతుంది.

Akki Hebbal hobli -
Harihar taluk Sarvamangala.

Name of village	Title deed No	Date on which title deed has been issued	Name & Surname of Inamdar	Description of Inam	Condition under which Inam is held	Description of land	Old extent	Former assessment
	2	3	4	5	6		8	9
								Rs. A.P.
	39/1	1-10-80	Chitaldurg - B. Gopalaiengar	Personal	For personal benefi	-	-	594.4.
	33/77	-	Harihar pura Kesavadevar	radaya	For Support	Wet	Krishnarai 0.6.0	11 10.
	34/2	-	Harihar pur / Rama - Krishnabhat 1/2 Sindhagatta - 2. Surabhat 1/2	Personal	For persona benefits	Wet	Do 0.5.0	8 11 8
	35/16-	-	Mysore. - 1 Ramunda - Srauti 1/3 2 Rama Srauti 1/3 3. Krishna - Srauti 1/3	do	do.	wet	Do 0 6 0	7 4. 6
	36/16	-	Harihar pur Subadar Alligamakai	evadaya	For Support	Dry	Do 0 3 0	3. 7. 1
5 Cases.			Total.	-	-	-	0.18.0	2625.6

Inam conformed as per Survey

Survey area A	G	Survey assessment	Former Jodi	Quit-rent now imposed	Total	Enfranchised or not	Items of Revenue granted to the Inamdars
		12	13	14	15	16	17
314	37	4-0-0					
441	32	465-12-0					
204	.	1470-0-0				Enfranchis	Land Revenue Mohatarfa Sayer Joddy
20	16	206-0-0					
981	5	2145-12-0					
.	16	0-4-0					
.	16	0-8-0					
4	31	5-8-0					
~	1/8	1-8-0					
6	1	7-12-0					
1	7	8-8-0		1-00	1-00	Enfranchise - do -	
1	13	9-8-0		1-00	1-00	do -	
7	7	8-0-0					
3		2179 8		2 0 0	2 0 0		

" Alp-Rent Register Copy

Muz. 25]

ನಂ.

ಮೈಸೂರು ಶ್ರೀಮತ್ ಮಹಾರಾಜರವರ ಗವರ್ನಮೆಂಟ್ ಮುಜರಾಯಿ

ಇಲಾಖೆಯಿಂದ

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

ನಿಮ್ಮ ನಂ. 3913 ದಿ. 13-3-1906
ಎ — 3926 ದಿ. 14-3-1906

[illegible]

By order,

Secretary

MGCPB—1,000—25-7 05

1905-06

He 24/3



From
H. H Sparkes Esq:
Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

To
L. Anantasawmi Rao Esq B.A
Secy. to the Govt of Mysore
Finance department

Dated 22nd March 06

Despatched

Received

General No. 493
No.

Enclosure No. 3 files + 1 Petn

Submits report on the petition of Lakshmi Devamma requesting remission of Khandasat on the Devam lands of Prasanna Narjunadeswara Swami temple at Nanjangud.

Note cont'd overleaf
22/3/06
HS

No 195
Three files
The Pay
To

Office of the Deputy Commissioner
Canara Districts
Dated 22nd March 1906

The Honourable Lieutenant Colonel B A
Secretary to the Government
of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore
Inam Department, Bangalore

Sir,

In reply to your letter No 4698/Inam 7165-92 dated 19th June 1905 calling for further report regarding the prayer of Lakshmidevamma for the remission of Khalsat on the Inam lands of the Sri Prasanna Vanjunda Eswara Swamy temple at Vanjangode and subsequent reminders, I have the honor to re-transmit the file of vernacular records received with the above, together with the marginally noted yadies received from the Assistant Commissioners in charge of the Vanjangode and Krishnarajpet group of Taluks, and to state as follows -

No 984/731 dated 3rd March 1906
No 12/234 dated 24th June 1905

II

It is not understood how the Inam lands in question are in the enjoyment of the Archak

From the records hereunto submitted it may be seen that the Archak is not remunerated otherwise beyond 15 Varahas since 6 January as of the realizations from the Inam lands endowed to the Sri Prasanna Vanjunadeswara Swamy temple

III In his Ittella No 126/1443 dated 15th Feb -ruary 1936 the Amildar of the Nanjangud Taluk reports that the Archak is holding the Samvidhi Archak's post (pay Rs 9- per mensem) also in the Sri Srikanteswara Swamy temple at Nanjangud.

iii The temple in question is not a main temple but a minor one established in the Sri Srikanteswara Swamy temple at Nanjangud.

iv From the copy of the Samad and Assistant Commissioners reports it may be seen that the lands were granted by Immadi Nanjappa to the Sri Prasanna Vanjunadeswara Swamy temple and the Nandikeswara Utsava murthy at Nanjangud and that the lands bearing Survey nos. 51, 52, 186, 187, 188, 190 & 191, have been registered in the name of the Sri

Prasanna

Prasanna Venkateswara Swamy temple

IV The Copy of the Sanad referred to in para 3 of your letter under reply also produced by Lakshmi devamma is herewith submitted.

V Another petition No 398 dated 10th March 1906 received from Lakshmi devamma is also herewith submitted for favour of orders

I have the honour to be
Sir
Your most obedient Servant
H H Sparkes
Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

CHS
BS

From Very Hon'ble

To The Deputy Commissioner Mysore District.

Dated

Despatched 2. 4 06

Received

General No. 4385.

No.

Enclosure No

Adverting to his No. 249 dated 6th February 1905 stating that the petition, addressed to H. H. the Maharaja by one Lakshmidevamma of Naijangud regarding the remission of Khadsa on the inam lands, will be returned on receipt of the same from the Assistant Commissioner Krishnarajapet Division, has the honour to ~~request that as the matter cannot be finally decided without~~

request that the

petition in question, ~~two~~

~~Deputy Commissioner is requested~~ may be kindly

to prepare a report to the Govt. as

~~immediately~~ as possible

By Order,

30/3/06

Secretary,

Nuzvid Department

30/3/06



1905 - 06.

Vs

From
A H Stanes Esq
Deputy Commissr.
Mysore District

To
Le Avantasoorma Ra.
Secy to Govt. Dung

Dated 5th April

Despatched

Received

General No. 508

No.

Enclosure No. 1 file

Request that the file of papers submitted to the Muzrai Secretariat with this Rubakari No: 71 dated 15-1-1904 may kindly be returned.

Rupees

Vs

7/4/06

508
Toile

Office of the Deputy Commissioner
& Zillah Magistrate
Bellary, Dated 5th April 1906

To
C. Ahamed Mukthiar Esquire B.A.
Secretary to the Government
of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore
Judicial Department, Bangalore

Sir,

In reply to your docket No. 4385 dated 2nd April 1906 calling for the return of the petition of Lakshmidevamma forwarded to this office for report with your official Memorandum No 1801 dated 7-3-06, I have the honor to submit herewith for reference Kanarese correspondence ending with Yadi No. 1485/962 dated 12-4-05 received from the Assistant Commissioner in charge of the French Rocks group of Taluks who states that the petition in question might be in the file of papers ending with his yadi No. 394 dated 24th December 1903 which was submitted to your office with this office Rubakari No. 71 dated 15-1-04.

2. As it is stated (Vide Muz: Secretary's docket No. 2193 dated 29-1-04) that

the

the petition in question is not forthcoming in the file sent with this office Rubakari quoted above, it is requested that the file of papers submitted to you with this office Rubakari No. 71 dated 15-1-1904 may kindly be ordered to be returned to this office for transmission to the Assistant Commissioner in charge of the French Rocks group of Taluks for reference and resubmission together with the petition of Lakshmedevamma called for

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
your most obedient Servant

Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

CAS

From

To

The Deputy Commissioner
Mupoone District.

Dated

Despatched 14. 4 06

Received

General No. 4721
No.

4 files

Enclosure No 1 Petn.

His No. 508 of 5th April 1906

Has the honor to forward herewith, as requested, the file of papers submitted by him with his Rubbaan No. 71 dated 15th January 1904 and to request that the petition in question may be caused to be transmitted to this office at an early date.

The enclosures noted with this letter under reply as well as those noted with his nos 493 dated 22nd ultimo 1906 are also herewith submitted.

11/4/06

By Order
14/4/06
Secretary

[illegible] 23/5/06

...SORE GOVERNME...
4964
25.5.06
...AI SECRETA...

[illegible]

[illegible] (26-8-0) [illegible] (474-3-7) [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 11-11-95 [illegible] 4590-1785 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 24-2-903 [illegible]

[illegible]

Urgent-

To

The Dy Commr
Anjaw District

No 5658 D/5-6-06.

Has the honour to request that the file of papers returned to him (as requested in his ~~Docket~~ Rukkuri no 71 dated the 15th January 1906) with this office Docket-no 721 dated the 14th April 1906, regarding the Khalsa land on the land endowed to the Parasuram Kundu Swami Temple at-

be kindly retransmitted
at once.

2. The petitioner
Lakshmidevamma,
therein referred to,
has submitted a copy
of her petition to this
Registry for ascertaining
the original of it, may also be sent
to it has been received
from the Asst. Comr.
to the Kishnarajpet
Division, but if it has
not yet been received
in records at least
may be sent for final
disposal of the question

31/5/06

31/5/06

1905-06



From
N A Sharma Esq
Deputy Commissioner
Shimoga District

To
L. Ramalingaswamy Naidu Esq
Secy: to the Govt of
Mysore, Bangalore Dept

Dated 13th June 06
Despatched 14-
Received
General No. 618
No.

Enclosure No. 4 files & 1 Register

Returned to the file of records relating to the petition of Lakshmidevamma regarding the Khelat on the land endowed to the Prasannamma Nanjundeswara Swamy Temple at Nanjangud.

Wkly Return
Keep Confidential
14/6/06

MGCPB—50 reams—6-12-02.

No 618
Circles &
Chiefs
do

Office of the Dy Commissioner
Cuddapah District
Dated Cuddapah 13th June 1906

To
K. Ananthaswamy Iyer Esqre B A
Secretary to His Government
of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore
Municipal Department Bangalore

Sir,

As called for in your docket
No: 5658 dated 5th June 1906, I have
the honor to retransmit the file
of papers relating to the Khaloat
on the land endowed to the —
Prasanna Nanjundeswara Swami
temple at Nanjangud received
with your docket No: 4721 dated
the 14th April 1906, and to state
that the Assistant Commissioner
of the French-Rocks group of
Circles has reported in the
accompanying memo No: 1141/1015

dated the 10th June 1906 that the original petition of Lakshmi Devamma is not traceable.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient Servant

[signature]
Deputy commissioner
Mysore district.

CPS

Copy 3/2/06

8-102

2 Dfts Govt

Mur I 17

MUZRAI SECRETARIAT.

190 —190

From

L. ANANTASAMI RAO, Esq., B.A.,
Secretary to the Government of
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
Muzrai Department.

To

The Secretary to Govt,
Gen: and Rev: Depts.

Dated
Despatched 4" July 1906
Received

General No. 32
Muzrai F. No.

Enclosure No

Has the honor to request the forwardal of the entire Correspondence which led to the passing of the Government Proceedings No. 2973-83 dated 9th January 1902 directing the remission of the assessment on temple Khalsa lands in actual enjoyment of temples as the same is required

GPB—2,000—7 2 06

by the Director of Education

for reference.

By Order,

4/7/06

Secretary to Govt,

Municipal Department

3/7/06

1906.

From

Secy. to the Govt. of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore,
Genl. and Rev. Depts.

To The Secretary to the Govt of H.H. The Maharaja of Mysore

Dated

Despatched 7th July 1906 Nuzrai Deptt

Received

General No. R. 357.
No.

Enclosure No. 2 files.

His docket No. 32 dated the 4th July 1906 requesting that the file of papers that le... to the passing of Govt Proceedings No. R 2973-85 dated 9th January 1902 directing the remission of assessment on temple Khalsat lands in actual enjoyment of of temples may be sent to him.

Has the honor to forward herewith the

G R S 20A

the marginally noted files on the subject as requested in the above.

By order

Peter Dimond
For Secretary.

L R 224 of 1895-96 Serial nos 1 & 2 in one collection

L R 243 of 1896-97 Serial nos 1 to 8 in one collection

4/7/98

2 Dft 8

[illegible]

MUZRAI SECRETARIAT.

190 —190

From

L. ANANTASAMI RAO, Esq., B.A,
Secretary to the Government of
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
Muzrai Department.

To

The Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

Dated 4"

Despatched 4" July 1906

Received

General No. 38

Muzrai F. No.

Enclosure No.

In inviting his attention to his letter No. 618 dated the 13th June 1906 submitting certain records relating to the prayer of Lakshmidevamma for remission of Khalsat on the land endowed to the Sri Prasanna Nanjundeswara Swami temple at Nanjangud, I have the honour to request

GPB—2,000—7-2-06

early
the submission of the records
which go to show how the
Village of Harishanpur in the
Krishnarajapet Taluk came
under Government management.

2- The Deputy Commissioner
is requested to submit the
records ~~at~~ called for above
at a very early date as the
same is required for reference
in disposing of the case in question.

The Encs are retained in this office

By Order,

4/7/06

Secretary to Govt
Muzrai Department

3/7/06

1906-07

1207

H.e

K

k1
29/8/06

From
H. M. Consul, New Coal Co. Ltd
Department of Commerce
Peking 20 March

To
L. Acting Secretary & Reg. New Coal Co. Ltd
Secy: to the Board of Trade
Shanghai

Dated 25 Aug. 06
Despatched 27 8
Received
General No. 67
No.

Enclosure No (2)

Slabs under which circumstances the village of Hsiaotangshan in the Yungchang district of Shansi can be ...

Office of the Dy Commissioner
Nagar Districts
Dated Nagar 1906

To

K. Ananda Krishnamachar Esqre B A
Secretary to His Government
of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore
Mysore Departments, Bangalore

Sir,

In reply to your docket No 38 dated 4th July 1901 and Subsequent reminder No 1005 dated the 11th August 1901 calling for the Submission of the records which go to show how the village of Harihara in the Krishnarajpet Taluk came under Government Management, I have the honor to submit herewith report No 10/91 dated the 11th July 1906 received from the Krishnarajpet Taluk Amildar who reports that the original records are not forth-coming in the Taluk and that the village came under Government management as directed in Government Proceedings No 2816-8 R 352 dated the 18th August 1894

2. Mr Gopalaiengar,

Mr Gopalaiengar, Inamdar, has mortgaged the village to Government for the 20,000/- loan obtained by him from Government and the bond executed by him is lodged in the safe custody of the Deputy Commissioner's office Revenue Account Department

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant

Deputy Commissioner

Mysore District

165-92

No. 5289 dt 13.5.07
Encl. 4 files

To

The Dy Commr
Mysore District

Sir,

Referring to the correspondence ending with your letter No 618 dated 14th June 1906 regarding the proper of Lakshmidevamma for the remission of Kandaya on the land endowed to the Sree Parasurama Swamy Temple - Swami Temple ~~at~~ ~~Arasigere~~ situated in the Sri Sreenivasa - Swami

Temple at Nanjangud,

I am directed to observe

from the various entries

in the records that the

lands in question are

in the actual enjoyment

of the Archak; ~~who does~~

~~service in the temple~~

But from a petition

dated 17th February

1903, presented by one

Doddabyrodu to the

then Sub-Division Officer

of French-Rocks, it is

understood that one

of the lands comprising

the Survey No 186, Wet

A 6
1 - 19 assessed at Rs 11,

~~has been~~ was sold to the

petitioner by one Huthiah Gowda

and

and that it continues

in his possession

Before finally

dealing with the subject

it is necessary to ~~ascertain~~ know

definitely whether all

the lands originally

assigned as Quan are

actually in the possession

of the Sachem or any

of them have been alienated

and if so, under

what circumstances;

or whether the Sachem

enjoys only the Kundgum

of the land as Quan

having no right to the

actual possession of

the land - Complete

information

may kindly be furnished
on this point.

The entire records
on the subject are herewith
forwarded for rep
and resubmission

I have the
honor to
Obt.
7/5/07
Mayor

ds
7/5/07

12/6

Muz 1 A

MUZRAI SECRETARIAT.

190 --190

From

L ANANTASAMI RAO, Esq., B A,
Secretary to the Government of
H. H the Maharaja of Mysore,
Muzrai Department.

To

The Dy Commr
Mysore Distt

Dated
Despatched 14-15 August 1904
Received
General No. 871
Muzrai F. No

Enclosure No. Nil

In continuation of this office letter no 5289 dated 13th may 1907 calling for further information regarding the prayer of Lakshmi devamma for the remission of Khalsat on the land endowed to the Sri Prasanna Ranganatha Eswaraswamy temple situate in the Sri Srikanteswaraswamy temple at Nanjangud, vide the

P-10-5-1911

honour to forward herewith another petition registered no 530 dated 1st August 1904 from Subraya Dekshitha minor through guardian Lakshmidevamma on the same subject and to request that the report already called for on the subject may be submitted at a very early date

By order

Sd/- [illegible]

Secretary

1/10

Encl: As the petn



Refer
Earl please
Please continue note
6/6. 11. 08

1907—08

From
M. Kantaraj urs Esq., B.A.
Dy. Commissioner,
Mysore District.

To
L. Anantasawmi Rao Esq., B.A.
Secy. to the Govt. of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore, ~~Gen. & Rev.~~ Revenue Depts.

Dated 1 August 08

Despatched 2

Received

General No. 525
No.

Enclosure No. 1 file

Subject.

Submits further report re. Lakshmidevamma in the matter of the remission of Khaloa

No. 525
Enc. No. 1 file

OFFICE OF THE Deputy Commr.

MYSORE DISTRICT,

Dated Mysore Camp 1/2 June 1908.

To

L. Anantasawmi Rao Esq., B.A.

SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT
OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE,
~~GENERAL AND REVENUE~~ Revenue DEPARTMENTS.

SIR,

In reply to your letter no. 5289 dated 13.5.1907 and subsequent reminders ending with your D.O. letter of the 5th may 1908 calling for the submission of further report regarding the prayer of Lakshmi devamma in the matter of the remission of Khaloat on the Inam lands endowed to the Sri Prasanna ranjundeswara Swami temple at ranjanyd, I have the honor to submit herewith the file of vernacular records received with English Report No. 393/627 dated 24.5.1908 from the Amildar of the Krishnarajpet Taluk and to state that the information called for has been furnished in the amildar's report.

2. The petition received with

with docket No. 893 dated 14-8
is herewith retransmitted.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
your most-obedient Servant

H. Shivaramaiah

Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

B.A.

Muz. 1]

190

From

To

The Dy Commr
Mysore Distt

Dated

Despatched 21st July 09

Received

General No. 2492

No. Jn 7 Pl 7 of 81-02

Enclosure No.

Adverting to his letter no 525 Dy 1st June 1908 submitting further report on the memorial of Lakshmidevamma praying for the remission of ~~from~~ Khalsat on some lands of the Hanchanpur village — ~~granted as Inam to the temple of Sri Prasanna Nanjundeswaraswamy~~ ~~in the Sri Srikanteswara~~ Swamy temple at Nanjangud, has the honor to request

G P P—3 000- 19-9-07

that the original ~~or a copy~~
~~of the~~ mortgage deed
(which may be in the
Mysore Dist^t Treasury for
safe custody) executed by
the late Mr Gopalierger
mortgaging the above
Village to Govt for a loan
of Rs 20,000 obtained by him,
may kindly be transmitted
for reference at an early
date.

If the term records
are retained in this
Office

Yours
obdt
[illegible]
for Secretary.

From

To

Dated

Despatched

Received

General No.
No.

Enclosure No

No. 62
Enc. No. 1 sealed cover containing deed for 20,000 rupees executed by Mr. Gopala Ayer

OFFICE OF THE Deputy Commr

MYSORE DISTRICT,

Dated Mysore Camp 11th August 1909

To

.....Esq.,

SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT
OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE,
GENERAL AND REVENUE DEPARTMENTS.
Inungrai Branch.

Sir,

Re order for in your docket No 272 Inung 165-92 dated 26th July 1909 I have the honor to submit herewith the original Deed* executed by the late Mr Gopala Iyengar mortgaging the Kasi-karpur village to Government for a loan of Rs 20,000/- obtained by him and to request the favour of an acknowledgment.

I have &c
(Sd) M. Kanakaraj Urs
Deputy Commr
Mysore District

Copy"

* dated 19-10-1894

G.R.S. 28]

Dated
Mis order sent the
precis to D. vide Dewan's
Secy's note-page 3.
[illegible]

File No. 81-02

Serial No.

Diary No. of letter replied to

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

( )

T

, *the* 190

Date of issue 13th January 1910

Signed by M. A. S. Iyer

READ— Memorial No. 48/648 dated 26th Dec. 1909 from Lakshmi Devamma to H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore, praying that the Khalsat imposed on certain Devadaya inam lands in Sarvamanya Hariharpur village in the Krishnarajpet Taluk may be remitted.

~~ORDER THEREON.—No.~~

Correspondence ending with letter No. 525 dated 14th June 1909 from the Dy. Commr., Mysore District, reporting on

over

J

SUBJECT

GPB—10,000—10-4-06

the above.

——— " ———

Order thereon No 1705-6 encs 2 files
——— ~~Mag F 81-02~~

Book Kasava Gurucar
Nanjappa the owner of Sarva-
-manya Hariharpur village
now in the Krishnarajpet
Taluk consecrated in 1853
a linga in the Sri Srikanteswara
swami temple at Nanjangud +
for the Padtara and Deepa
-rachane of this linga named
Prasanna Nanjundeswara
swami assigned in his
Sarvamanya village wet and
dry lands with a beriz of
'8 Varahas On account of
debts contracted by Gurucar
Vanjappa the village changed
lands more than once till
inally it came to the possession
f Mr Hosur Sreenivasiengar
nd after his death of his
on the late Mr Gopaliengar

G.R.S 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Continuation Sheet draft form.)

Huzur Treasury Officer who in the year 1885-6 when the Survey Settlement was introduced into the late Attikuppe (now Krishnarajpet) Taluk got this village also surveyed and settled. At the Survey Settlement the lands assigned to Sri Prasanna Nanjundeswara were numbered & assessed as specified in the margin. The Inamdar relying on Govt. No 153 dated 12 12 1887 claimed the difference between the assessment and the old beriz as jodi on these lands. The archak refused to pay. This state of things continued till the year 1894-5 when Mr. Gopaliengar mortgaged the village to Govt having obtained a loan of Rs 20,000. To receive steps having been taken by Government Officers for the recovery of the arrears on the lands aforesaid preferred to, petitioners husband who was the archak of the idol and after his death the petitioner contend that

Sur. No	Descrip.	Extent A	G	Rs	As	Ps
51	Dry	4	10	4	—	—
52	do	4	13	4	—	—
53	do	9	25	9	8	—
186	Wet	1	19	11	—	—
187	do	1	28	12	—	—
188	do	2	12	16	—	—
190	do	2	11	15	—	—
191	do	1	3	7	8	—
		27	1	379	—	—

Outstanding as per demand

the lands are Devadaya inam (and that therefore no Khalsat is leviable.

2 The lands being "Stala Inam" not recognised at the Inam settlement the matter at issue is as directed in Govt Pro: No-Camp 23 dy 00 Facsimile 3 4 1890 one to be decided by a Civil Court. The Inamdar though he had possession for about 9 years after the introduction of Survey and settlement failed to obtain a decision from a Civil Court. In these circumstances Government think it equitable that, without prejudice to the right, if any, of the Inamdar to recover the money claimed, this amount should not be ~~collected~~ demanded while ~~so long as~~ the village continues under Govt management. The local cess of Rs 4-15-0 on the entire ~~assessment~~ Survey Valuation of Rs 79/- should ~~however~~ be ~~recovered~~ levied. The balance will continue to be shewn in the accounts of Govt as ~~demanded~~ but not demanded

30/6/1890

Sd/-

Sd/- 10 Jan 90 for Secretary

The claim being one of a doubtful nature, Govt do not consider it advisable to take steps to enforce it. The reps of the Mortgagor will be informed that they are at liberty to take whatever steps they consider necessary to enforce their rights if any in this respect against the occupant of these lands.

Sd/-

With a copy of the Govt Advocate's opinion in the case.

To,

The Dy Commr
Mysore Dist
" Inam Supdt
in reply

Encl to Petr

No 1906 encl 6 31/10

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

ಮೇಲಿನ ಆದೇಶವನ್ನು ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಅಧೀನ ಕಾರ್ಯದರ್ಶಿಗಳ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ತರಲು ಈ ಮೂಲಕ ಕೋರಲಾಗಿದೆ.

By order,

ಸಹಿ/-

for Secretary.

Yet as per undertaking

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, Land Revenue, dated 29th April 1910.

READ—

The following note dated 26th August 1909 by the Government Advocate regarding the remission of khalsat imposed on certain Devadaya Inam lands in Sarvamanya Hariharpur village, Krishnarajpete, Taluk, which is under Government management, having been mortgaged by the Inamdar for a loan of Rs. 20,000·—

"The mortgage deed stipulates, among other things, that the hypothecated village shall be in the management of the Amildar and that out of the income realised a sum of Rs 1,662-10-8 shall be annually appropriated towards the principal and interest until the debt is fully discharged. Neither this document nor the Government Proceedings referred to therein alludes to the arrears of assessment due by the raiyats. I am therefore of opinion that the Government have no right to collect arrears of assessment due for years previous to the date of mortgage The mortgagor was at liberty to collect them in spite of the mortgage. If any portion thereof was recovered by Government it was unauthorized. It is therefore advisable to inform the representatives of the late Mr. Gopala Iyengar to make their own arrangements for the recovery of such arrears.

As mortgagees in possession, the Government are bound to collect, with due diligence, from the raiyats, the kandayam payable by them, and will be liable to make good any loss arising from their negligence The right of the mortgagor to demand the khalsat in question was denied by the pujari; and the mortgagor did not make any attempt to enforce this right for nine years he was in possession of the village before mortgage. It can fairly be contended for the pujari that the land itself was made a gift of to the deity and not a certain amount out of the income thereof. The gift was not qualified by any reservation that when the assessment of the land was enhanced, the donor was to get the difference between the old and the new assessments Thus the claim itself is a highly doubtful one and no negligence can be imputed to the mortgagee if no suit be filed to enforce such a claim.

If the claim of the mortgagor to the khalsat in question be considered good, the only way it can be enforced is by a suit to be instituted by the Deputy Commissioner of Mysore on behalf of the Government of Mysore, as the mortgage deed stands in his name. The heirs of the mortgagor will have to be made *pro-forma* parties to the suit.

Before launching a suit of this kind the question of limitation will have to be considered. The Survey Settlement seems to have taken place in 1885 when the khalsat was claimed by the mortgagor and the pujari seems to have denied his right to make such a demand. Whether or not this right be regarded as a recurring one for which a cause of action arises from day to day or from year to year, the claim seems to be barred by either the twelve years' rule under Article 131 or by the six years' rule under Article 120 of the 2nd schedule of Limitation Regulation."

No. R. 7402-10—L. R. 423-09-1, DATED BANGALORE, 29TH APRIL 1910.

ORDER THEREON.—The position of Government regarding the recovery of arrears of rent due from tenants and other inferior holders in Inam villages, taken under Government management, has been explained in Government Order No. R. 3453-61—L. R. 217-06-2, dated 3rd December 1907, and the principles laid down therein are applicable in all cases whether the assumption of management has been due to default in payment of revenue or for the recovery of any loan advanced to the Inamdar on the security of the village or any other similar reason. The attention of the Deputy Commissioners will be specially drawn to the remarks of the Government Advocate regarding the liability of Revenue officers in case of failure on their part to take proper and timely steps for the collection of arrears after the village comes under Government management and the Revenue Commissioner is requested to impress upon all Revenue officers the importance of their discharging to the best of their ability the trust imposed upon them by the management as explained in Government Order No. 3793-802—Cir. 67, dated 17th July 1890. Arrangements should be made to conduct the jamabandi of all such villages annually as in the case of Government villages and to get the demand and collection carefully checked by the jamabandi officer at the time and to have in short the same attention paid to prevent accumulation of arrears as in Government villages.

C. S. BALASUNDARAM IYER,
Offg. Secy. to Govt., Gen. & Rev. Depts.

To—The Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.
The Deputy Commissioners of Districts

Exd.—C. V.

1909-10

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS
THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

LAND REVENUE

PROCEEDINGS

Dated 29th April 1910

No. R. 7402-10 / L. R. 423-09-1

Issuing instructions regarding the Revenue Administration of Inam villages coming under Government management.

GPB—11 5 10—250